

## EFFECT OF SPICES ON ANTI-NUTRITIONAL PROPERTIES OF TIGER-NUT BEVERAGE (KUNUN AYA)

\*<sup>1</sup>Maxwell Y. M. O., <sup>2</sup>Alabi M.O. and <sup>3</sup>Edorhe A. O.

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of Food Science & Technology, Federal University of Technology, Minna

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nutrition & Dietetics, Federal Polytechnic Bida, Niger State

E-mail: maxwellyom@gmail.com or y.maxwell@futminna.edu.ng

### Introduction

Beverage is any food intended to be taken in liquid form which includes juices, coffee, tea, soft and alcoholic drinks etc. Several kinds of fermented non-alcoholic beverages are consumed locally for food value but rather for thirst quenching properties and for their stimulating effects.<sup>[1,2,3]</sup> Seven studies have shown that Kunun aya extract is rich in nutrients such as carbohydrate, protein, minerals and vitamins.<sup>[4,5]</sup> Tiger-nut beverages are popularly known in the northern part of Nigeria. Kunun aya, it is widely consumed for its thirst-quenching and nutritive properties. The study determined the effect of spices on anti-nutritional properties of tiger-nut beverage (kunun aya).

### Materials and Method

Fresh Tiger nuts (*Cyperus esculentus*) and spices (ginger, clove and date) were purchased from Kudu Ultra-market in Minna, Niger State. Tiger-nuts seeds were sorted, foreign materials, bad/cracked nuts, which may affect the taste and keeping quality of the drink were removed, washed and rinsed with portable water then soaked overnight to soften the fibre and to remove off-flavour. One kilogramme of the fresh tigernut was blended several times into slurry with water. The slurry was pressed using muslin cloth to recover the extract. The tiger-nut extract was mixed with ginger, clove and date at a varying proportions and then stirred thoroughly to have the spices properly dissolved. Samples were later analysed for anti-nutrients such as tannins, saponins, alkaloids and oxalate. The results obtained from the anti-nutritional properties were subjected to one-way ANOVA and the means were separated by Duncan Multiple Range Test using SPSS version 20.

### Results and Discussion

The results presented in Table 1. revealed that the blend of tiger-nut with ginger, cloves and date had highest saponin content (314.60mg/100ml) which was significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) different from blends prepared from tiger-nut with date, tiger-nut with cloves and the control. Highest level of tannin content was observed in tiger-nut with cloves (25.45mg/100ml) which was significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) different from blends prepared from tiger-nut with ginger, tiger-nut with date, tiger-nut with ginger, cloves, date and the control. However the lowest oxalate content (10.13mg/100ml) was observed in control sample and tiger-nut with ginger.

### Conclusion

In conclusion the inclusion of spices (dates, clove and ginger) in the production of tiger-nut drink (kunun-aya) had significant influence on the anti-nutrient properties.

**TABLE 1:** Anti-Nutrient Content of Fresh Kunun aya with Spices

Parameters	Saponin	Tannin	Alkaloid	Oxalate
A	248.20a $\pm$ 7.40	21.54c $\pm$ 1.12	5.48a $\pm$ 0.12	10.13a $\pm$ 0.000
B	161.20b $\pm$ 2.00	25.45d $\pm$ 1.20	5.25ab $\pm$ 0.30	28.13b $\pm$ 0.00
C	189.00c $\pm$ 4.60	16.80a $\pm$ 1.17	3.84d $\pm$ 0.03	28.13b $\pm$ 0.00
D	314.60d $\pm$ 1.40	17.30a $\pm$ 1.08	3.76d $\pm$ 0.04	18.00c $\pm$ 0.00
E	154.80b $\pm$ 0.40	16.08a $\pm$ 0.08	4.86bc $\pm$ 0.45	10.13a $\pm$ 0.00
Sig.	0	0.005	0.001	0
Remark	*	*	*	*

Values with no common superscript in a row were significantly different from each other ( $p \leq 0.05$ )

\* Significant

Sample A=Kunun aya and Ginger

Sample B=Kunun aya and Clove

Sample C=Kunun aya and Date

Sample D=Kunun aya, Ginger, Clove and Date

Sample E=Kunun aya (Control)

## References

1. Abegaz K. (2007). *Afr. J. Biotechno* 6.12: 1469-1478.
2. Ihekoronye A.I. and Ngoddy P.O. (1985). Integrated Food Science and Technology for the Tropics. Macmillan Education L.T.D., London and Oxford, pp. 312-317.
3. Temple V. J., Titus O. O. and Moses M. K. (1990). *J. Sci. Food Agri.* 50.2: 262-263.
4. Richard A. E. and Djomdi R. N. (2006). *J. Food Proc. Preserv.* 30(2): 145-163.
5. Badau M. H. Bilyaminu D, Ogori A.F., Charles B. and Ogori J. (2018). *EC Nutr.* 13(3): 138-142.