

# BACTERIOLOGICAL WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF STORED BOREHOLE AND TAP WATER IN MINNA METROPOLIS, NIGERIA

<sup>1</sup>BALA, J. D., <sup>1</sup>ENIOLORUNDA, M. F., <sup>2</sup>ABDULRAHAM, A. A., <sup>1</sup>ADABARA, N. U., <sup>1</sup>KUTA, F. A., <sup>1</sup>ADEDEJI, A. S., AGBOOLA, R. K.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B 65, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University, Lokoja, Kogi State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author Email: jerrybrown316@yahoo.com; bala.jeremiah@futminna.edu.ng  
+2348037868393

## ABSTRACT

The availability of potable water is an important ingredient for preventing epidemic water borne disease and improving the quality of life. This study investigated the bacteriological quality of selected drinking water sources in Minna metropolis. Water samples were collected from borehole and tap water from Bosso and Gidan kwanu campuses of Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria. Bacteria isolation was carried out using standard spread plate method and Most Probable Number (MPN) techniques. Data generated from the study were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Results revealed that the total bacteria counts for the water samples ranges from  $4.00 \pm 2.00^a \times 10^2$  cfu/mL -  $34.50 \pm 2.50^e \times 10^2$  cfu/mL, faecal coliform count ranges from  $5.00 \pm 5.00^b \times 10^2$  cfu/mL -  $19.00 \pm 1.00^c \times 10^2$  cfu/mL while the occurrence of coliform ranged from  $23.50 \pm 19.50^a$  -  $1100.00 \pm 0.00^f$  MPN/100mL which shows that there was significant difference at  $p < 0.05$ . The organisms isolated were identified as *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas sp*, *Salmonella sp*, *Shigella sp*, *Bacillus sp* and *Klebsiella sp* with *Klebsiella sp* (31.33%) and *Escherichia coli* (6.02%) having the highest and lowest frequency of occurrence respectively (31.33%). The physicochemical analysis revealed that not all water samples were within the acceptable standard for drinking water. pH range from  $(7.59 \pm 0.13^a - 8.79 \pm 0.55^b)$ , Total hardness range from  $(51.50 \pm 1.50^a - 171.50 \pm 10.50^e$  mg/L) and turbidity range from  $(0.00 \pm 0.00^a - 14.50 \pm 0.50^d$  NTU) . No significant difference between pH while total hardness and turbidity showed significant difference at ( $p < 0.05$ ) and the microbial counts exceeded the standard limit. The presence of these organisms in the water sample shows that the water is not potable. Storage tanks should be washed and disinfected regularly to prevent contamination of water.

**KEYWORDS:** Boreholes, Coliforms, Water-borne disease, Pathogenic microbes

## INTRODUCTION

Water is the most essential natural resources needed by every living thing, it is either used for drinking, bathing, food production and

recreational purposes (Eboh et al., 2011). According to the World Health Organization (WHO) 2017, access to safe drinking water is essential for good health, a basic human right and a component



effective policy for health protection. Water is important to sustain life, and sufficient provisions should be made available to consumers (Owolabi et al., 2014). Potable and accessible water supply is important for public health. Due to the important role played by water in sustaining life, it has a great ability for transmitting diseases and illnesses if contaminated (Yakasai et al., 2004). Today, the major challenges in many developing countries include the increase in human population and climatic changes, which have resulted in pollution of available natural water supplies. Availability of water has become a serious problem and it is important to people relying on non-public water supply (Okonko et al., 2008). The growing population has imposed pressure on the provision of safe drinking water, particularly in developing countries (Umeh et al., 2005). However, some of the water provided are contaminated and have consequences on the health and economic standard of the populations (Bala et al., 2016). Unsafe drinking water can carry pathogenic microbes; globally, about 80% of all diseases and death in developing countries are water-related (Ayeni et al., 2011). The numbers of water-borne disease outbreaks that have been reported in Nigeria demonstrates that transfer of pathogens in drinking water remains a notable cause of illness (Nwidi et al., 2008). In assessing quality of drinking water, physical, chemical and bacteriological parameters must be considered. Physical parameters include color, turbidity, while chemical parameters include pH, Electric conductivity (EC), Total suspended solids e.t.c. However, a better

understanding of the level of microbial contamination can help us to develop protection program for drinking water systems (St Laurent and Mazumder, 2014). Conformation with microbiological standards is of important concern because of the ability of water to spread diseases within a large population. Even though the standards vary from places, joint effort to ensure global access to safe water, basic sanitation, and improved hygiene is the bedrock for ending of poverty and diseases (Hughes and Koplan, 2005).

Federal University of Technology Minna is an institution of higher learning with two campuses (Gidan Kwanu and Bosso Campus) in Niger state, North-central of Nigeria. Students live in hostels in both campuses and in the metropolis. Borehole and tap water serves as a source of drinking water and also for domestic purposes on both campuses to students and members of staff living in the quarters. This study is aimed at evaluating the potability of the borehole and tap water supplied to the campuses in Minna metropolis by analyzing its physicochemical and bacteriological properties.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Areas:** The study areas were the hostels and staff quarters of Federal University of Technology Minna, Niger state. The institution has her campuses sited at two different locations (Bosso and Gidan Kwanu). The tap water and borehole serves as source of water for domestic purposes like drinking, cooking and washing for students and members of staff living in the quarters of the institution.






Fig 1. Map of Study Location

Source: Department of Urban And Regional Planning, FUTMinna.

**Collection of samples:** Ten (10) water samples were collected between 9.00 am and 12.00pm over a period from the two locations in a sterile 200mL bottle with a metallic cork. During the collection, the nozzle of the tap was swabbed with cotton wool soaked in methylated spirit. This helped to disinfect the nozzle of the tap. The tap was allowed to run for 2 minutes and the sterile bottle was used to collect the water and it was corked immediately. This procedure was repeated for collection of water from borehole sources and they were immediately transported to the laboratory in an ice chest for analysis within 6 hours.

**Bacteriological water quality determination  
Total viable bacterial counts**

Population of microorganisms in the water samples was enumerated using standard pour plate method (APHA, 1985). 1mL of the borehole and tap water sample was used of serial dilution using 3 test tubes contains 9mL of distilled water aseptically. .1 mL aliquots of the dilutions was aseptically removed with a sterile syringe from the second test tube and dispensed in a petri dish and then already prepared nutrient agar (NA), is poured into the plate containing the aliquots rocked together and allowed to gel. The plates were inoculated on the surface using standard pour plate method. The culture plates were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours. Colonies was counted and recorded



**Faecal coliform count**

Faecal coliform count was determined by 1ml of water sample from the second test tube of serial is introduced into the petri dish and adding Eosin Methylene Blue medium using pour plate method to determine the fecal coliform count

**Most Probable Number (MPN) method**

The most probable number (MPN) method was used to confirm the coliform counts of the water samples using three tests: presumptive, confirmed, and completed test (Fawole and Oso, 2001).

**Presumptive test**

Nine test tubes was used for each sample which six was for single strength and three was double strength, 10 mL of lactose broth with methyl red as indicator was dispensed in to the test tubes and Durham tubes were placed in inversely and was sterilized at 121°C for 15 minutes. After which 10 mL of water sample was dispensed to the double strength, 1.0 mL and 0.1 mL of water sample was dispensed in to three test tubes each for single strength was incubation at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours. Positive test tubes were sub-cultured.

**Confirmed test**

MacConkey agar, *Salmonella shigella* agar and Eosin Methylene Blue agar was prepared and sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. Media was left to cool to ambient temperature before dispensing in sterile petri dishes to gel. Positive test tubes from presumptive test was sub cultured on MacConkey agar, *Salmonella shigella* agar and Eosin Methylene Blue agar using streaking method and incubating at 37°C for 24 hours to isolate distinct colonies.

**Complete test**

Distinct colonies were sub cultured on petri dishes containing nutrient agar and incubated

for 24 hours. Using the sterile wire loop, distinct colonies was picked and sub cultured into slant bottles. Gram staining and biochemical tests were done to identify the pure isolates.

**Identification of Isolates**

Isolates from the primary cultures incubated at 37°C were aseptically sub cultured onto a fresh media (Nutrient agar) to obtain pure cultures using spread plate method. The resultant pure cultures were sub cultured into already prepared slant bottles for the purpose of identification and characterization. The various isolates were characterized based on their growth on selective media and biochemical tests including Mannitol salt and starch hydrolysis, Catalase, Indole, Urase, Sugar fermentation, Coagulase tests were used for identification of isolates. The isolates were further identified by comparing their characteristics with those of known taxa using Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The bacteriological examination of ten (10) water samples from tap and borehole water which serves as sources of drinking water for the institution community revealed that water samples were contaminated with bacteria. Both campuses showed that both water sources harbor diverse bacterial contaminants. All the boreholes and tap water samples had coliform count above Nigerian Standards for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ) recommended standard of less than 10MPN/100 mL of water. This result agrees with the work of Agbabiaka and Sule, (2010) who reported a similar result on occurrence of coliform in boreholes. Borehole and tap water contamination observed in this study may be due to dirty and contaminated storage tanks, which in most cases serves as a conducive environment for growth of coliform bacteria. The data obtained were subjected to



one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) ( $p < 0.05$ ) which showed significant difference in the microbial counts of the various water sampled. This agrees with the work of Bala (2006) who reported a similar result on occurrence of coliform in well and tap water in Jimeta-Yola, Nigeria. The isolated bacteria such as species of *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas*, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Bacillus*, *Klebsiella* have been implicated to cause water related diseases such as gastroenteritis, diarrhea, typhoid and cholera as reported by APHA (1998). In this study, the occurrence of coliform, *Escherichia coli* in the borehole and tap water samples shows faecal contamination and this conforms with the work of Bala (2006). Data obtained from physicochemical analysis of borehole and tap water were subjected to one analysis of variance (ANOVA) ( $p < 0.05$ ) which showed that there were significant difference in turbidity, total hardness but shows no significant difference in pH. Some turbidity values of the borehole water and tap water samples did not conform with the values allowed NSDWQ (2007). Turbidity in the borehole and tap water samples may be due to the occurrence of particles such as clay, silt, finely divided organic matter (Adekunle *et al.*, 2007). Table one below shows the different sources of water analyzed.

Table 1 Sources of Water and Location

Key	Source	Location
W1	Tap	Staff Quarters (Bosso)
W2	Borehole	Staff Quarters (Bosso)
W3	Borehole	Boys Hostel (Bosso)
W4	Tap	Girls Hostel (Bosso)
W5	Borehole	New Girls Hostel (GK)
W6	Borehole	Old Girls Hostel (Bosso)
W7	Borehole	New Girls Hostel (Bosso)
W8	Borehole	Old Boys Hostel (Bosso)
W9	Borehole	New Boys Hostel (GK)
W10	Borehole	Staff Quarters (GK)

Table 2 shows the mean total bacterial count. The highest bacterial count recorded was recorded for girls hostel borehole at ( $34.50 \pm 2.50^e \times 10^2$  cfu/mL) while the lowest was from girls hostel tap at ( $4.00 \pm 2.00^a \times 10^2$  cfu/mL).

Table 2: Total bacterial count of water samples

SAMPLE	(x 10 <sup>2</sup> cfu/mL)
W1	5.50±1.50 <sup>a</sup>
W2	24.50±4.50 <sup>d</sup>
W3	7.50±2.50 <sup>a</sup>
W4	4.00±2.00 <sup>a</sup>
W5	34.50±2.50 <sup>e</sup>
W6	12.00±2.00 <sup>b</sup>
W7	12.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>
W8	13.50±1.50 <sup>b</sup>
W9	15.00±5.00 <sup>c</sup>
W10	14.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>

Data on the same column with different superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) from each other. Values are ± Standard Error of Mean.

Table 3 shows the mean faecal coliform count. The highest count recorded was for boys hostel's borehole at ( $19.00 \pm 1.00^c \times 10^2$  cfu/mL) while the lowest was from quarters tap at ( $5.00 \pm 5.00^b \times 10^2$  cfu/mL). No coliform were recorded in boys hostel borehole, girls hostel tap, old girls hostel borehole, new girls hostel borehole, Old boys hostel borehole, New boys hostel borehole, Quarters Gk borehole.

Table 3: Faecal coliform count of water samples

SAMPLE	(x10 <sup>3</sup> cfu/mL)
W1	5.00±5.00 <sup>b</sup>
W2	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W3	19.00±1.00 <sup>c</sup>
W4	6.00±6.00 <sup>b</sup>
W5	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W6	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W7	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W8	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W9	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W10	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>

Data on the same column with different superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) from each other. Values are ± Standard Error of Mean.

Table 4 shows the mean total coliform count. The highest total coliform count recorded was for quarters borehole and old boys hostel at (1100.00±0.00<sup>f</sup> MPN/100mL) while the lowest was from new girls hostel borehole at (23.50±19.50<sup>a</sup> MPN/100mL).

Table 4: Total coliform count of water samples

SAMPLE	(MPN/100mL)
W1	51.00±42.00 <sup>b</sup>
W2	1100.00±0.00 <sup>f</sup>
W3	26.00±17.00 <sup>a</sup>
W4	210.00±00.00 <sup>d</sup>
W5	23.50±19.50 <sup>a</sup>
W6	106.50±13.50 <sup>c</sup>
W7	180.00±30.00 <sup>d</sup>
W8	1100.00±0.00 <sup>f</sup>
W9	112.50±37.50 <sup>e</sup>
W10	780.00±320.00 <sup>e</sup>

Data on the same column with different superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) from each other. Values are ± Standard Error of Mean. The percentages of organisms characterized and identified are shown in table 5 below.

Table 5: Frequency of occurrence of bacterial isolate in the water samples

S/N	Organisms	Number of occurrence	Percentage of occurrence
1	<i>Bacillus</i> sp	13	15.66
2	<i>Salmonella</i> sp	10	12.05
3	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	5	6.02
4	<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp	14	16.87
5	<i>Klebsiella</i> sp	26	31.33
6	<i>Shigella</i> sp	15	18.07
	Total	83	100



Table 6 shows the shows the physiochemical parameters of stored borehole and tap water samples.

Table 6: Physiochemical Parameters of water samples

SAMPLES	pH	TOTAL HARDNESS (mg/L)	TURBIDITY(NTU)
W1	8.12±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	51.50±1.50 <sup>a</sup>	6.50±0.50 <sup>c</sup>
W2	8.02±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	151.50±0.50 <sup>b</sup>	6.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>
W3	8.42±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	127.00±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>
W4	8.76±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	60.50±1.50 <sup>a</sup>	14.50±0.50 <sup>d</sup>
W5	8.79±0.55 <sup>a</sup>	136.50±1.50 <sup>b</sup>	2.50±0.50 <sup>b</sup>
W6	7.59±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	171.50±10.50 <sup>c</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W7	8.26±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	171.50±2.50 <sup>c</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W8	7.68±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	173.00±12.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W9	7.82±0.39 <sup>a</sup>	180.50±11.50 <sup>d</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
W10	8.40±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	141.50±11.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>
NSDWQ	8.5	150	5

KEY: (NSDWQ): Nigerian standards for drinking water quality

Data on the same column with different superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) from each other. Values are ± Standard Error of Mean.

**CONCLUSION**

From the study, it can be concluded that the water supplied to the study area is not potable as the types and numbers of microorganisms isolated from the stored borehole and tap water sample shows that the water samples are contaminated and contaminant numbers exceeded the standard unit recommended by Nigerian Standards for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ) which makes the water unsafe for drinking and may be liable to cause diseases that

is related to water while the physiochemical parameters of some samples analyzed are not within the recommended range neither. High turbidity levels of some samples may be due to the presence of high number of dissolved solids.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

- i. The periodic washing and disinfection of storage tanks should be done regularly to prevent the growth of algae.
- ii. Water should not be stored in storage tank for too long
- iii. Water should be treated in the storage tanks with disinfectants like chlorine before discharge for public consumption.

**REFERENCE:**

Adekunle, I. M., Adekunle, M. T., Gbadebo, A. M. and Banjoko, O. B (2007). Assessment of Ground water Quality in a Typical Rural Settlement in Southwest Nigeria. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 4(4): pp 307 – 318.

Agbabiaka, T.O. and Sule, I. O (2010). Bacteriological assessment of selected

borehole water samples in Ilorin metropolis. *International Journal of Applied Biological Research* 2: pp 32-37.

American Public Health Association (APHA) (1985). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 14<sup>th</sup> edition, American Public Health Association, Washington D.C.

American Public Health Association (APHA) (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20<sup>th</sup>



- edition, American Public Health Association, Washington D.C.
- Ayeni, A. O, Balogun, I. I and Soneye, S. O (2011). Seasonal assessment of physicochemical concentration of polluted urban river: case of Ala River in Southwestern Nigeria. *Research Journal of Environmental Science* 5: pp 22-33.
- Bala, J. D. (2006). Occurrence of faecal coliforms in water sources in Jimeta-Yola Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 10(2): pp 64 – 69.
- Bala, J. D., Kuta, F. A., Adabara, N. U., Abioye, O. P., Adelere, I. A., Abdulsalam, R., Adel, A.S. Al-Gheethi, Kaizar, H. And Mamman, L. H. (2016). Bacteriological and physicochemical assessment of packaged water sold in Minna, Niger state, Nigeria. *International journal of applied biological research* 7: pp 43 – 50.
- Eboh, O.J., Ogu, I. G and Idara, M. U (2017). Microbiological quality of borehole and well water sources in Amai kingdom, Ukwuani Local Government Area of delta state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research* 3: pp 18-28.
- Fawole, M. O. and Oso, B. A (2001). Laboratory Manual of Microbiology. Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Books. pp.15-45.
- Hughes, J. M. and Koplan, J. P (2005). Saving Lives through Global Safe Water. *Journal of Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 11: pp 1636-1637.
- Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality (2007). National Standard for Drinking Water Quality. pp 1-22.
- Nwidu L. L., Oveh B., Okorioye T. and Vaikosen N. A (2008). Assessment of the water quality and prevalence of water-borne diseases in Amassaoma, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 7: pp 2993 – 2997.
- Okonko, I. O., Ogunjobi, A. A., Adejoye, A. D., Ogunnusi, T. A. and Olasogba, M.C. (2008). Comparative studies and microbial risk assessment of different water samples used for processing frozen sea foods in Ijora-olopa, Lagos State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7 (16): pp 2902-2907.
- Owolabi, J. B., Olaitan, J. O., Alao, A. A., Deile, A. K., Ige. O. O and Oloke, J. K (2014). Bacteriological and Physicochemical Assessment of Water from Student Hostels of Osun State University, Main Campus, Osogbo, Southwest Nigeria. *Covenant Journal of Physical and Life Sciences* 2: pp 68-88.
- St Laurent, J and Mazumder, A (2014). Influence of seasonal and inter-annual hydro-meteorological variability on surface water faecal coliform concentration under varying land-use composition. *water research*, 48: PP 170-178.
- Umeh, C. N., Okorie, O. I. and Emesiani, G.A (2005). Towards the provision of safe drinking water: The bacteriological quality and safety of sachet water in Awka, Anambra State. In: the Book of Abstract of the 29th Annual Conference & General Meeting on Microbes As Agents of Sustainable Development, organized by Nigerian Society for Microbiology (NSM), University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, pp. 22.
- World Health Organization (2017). Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality: 4th Edition. Pp 631
- Yakasai, I. A., Salawu, F. and Musa, H (2004). Concentrations of Lead in Ahmadu Bello University Dam, raw, treated (Tap) and ABUCONS Pure Waters. *Chemical class Journal*. 1: pp 86 – 90.