

Temporal and spatial variability in macroinvertebrate community structure in relation to environmental variables in Gbako River, Niger State, Nigeria

UNIQUE N. KEKE^{1,2*}, FRANCIS O. ARIMORO¹, YOHANNA I. AUTA¹ & ADESOLA V. AYANWALE¹

¹*Applied Hydrobiology unit, Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 65, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria*

Abstract: Temporal and spatial variability in macroinvertebrate community structure in relation to environmental variables in Gbako River, Niger State, Nigeria was evaluated monthly for a period of six months using modified kick sampling techniques. Four study stations were selected along the river course (upper reaches of less human impacts through mid-reaches with relative high human impacts to lower reaches of less human impacts), designated as stations 1, 2, 3, and 4. High concentrations of dissolved oxygen, lower nutrient and BOD levels were recorded in stations 1 and 4 while lower concentrations of dissolved oxygen, higher nutrient, conductivity levels, and BOD levels were recorded in stations 2 and 3. There was an abundance of the pollution sensitive taxa such as Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Coleopteran (*Gyrinus* spp., *Dytiscus* spp.) and Anisoptera (*Gomphus* sp., *Lestinogomphus*, *Cordulex* spp.) in all the stations, especially the upper and lower reaches, whereas on the other hand, some pollution tolerant species like the Crustaceas, Dipterans, Mollusca (*Neritina rubricate*, *Potadoma*) were merely restricted to the middle reaches (stations 2 and 3). Of the total number of individual benthic invertebrates recorded during the entire study, 53% was recorded in the dry season while the remaining 47% was recorded in the wet season. However, this difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$) when the student t-test ($t_{stat/cal} = 0.388$, $t_{crit} = 2.447$) for the densities and abundances of macroinvertebrates recorded during the two sampling seasons was applied. Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) separated the less impacted from the more impacted sites and also showed that the invertebrate fauna was significantly ($P < 0.05$) associated with environmental factors of Gbako River. The CCA identified conductivity, depth, flow velocity, dissolved oxygen, biological oxygen demand, and phosphates as important variables structuring the macroinvertebrate assemblages. The higher number of benthic invertebrates recorded in the dry season could be attributed to the unstable nature of the substrates through inputs and influx of storm water during the rainy season months.

Key words: Abundance, anthropogenic activities, diversity index, ecological information, environmental factors, Ephemeroptera, physicochemical parameters.

Handling Editor: Emma J. Rochelle-Newall

Introduction

Historically, the assessment of water quality in freshwater ecosystems has usually been through

the measurement of physico-chemical variables; but such measurements alone cannot provide ecological information, because the synergistic effects of pollution on aquatic biotic

*Corresponding Author; e-mail: uniquekkn@gmail.com

Present address: Formerly at Science Laboratory Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria

community may not be fully and easily assessed (Arimoro *et al.* 2015; Kasangaki *et al.* 2006; Rosenberg & Resh 1993). Among aquatic biota, macroinvertebrates are an important component of the ecosystems, because they serve as a critical intermediate pathway for the transportation and utilisation of energy and matter. Also, individual taxa respond differently to a variety of pollutants and are able to provide an indication of water quality over varying time periods (Bonada *et al.* 2006; Odume & Muller 2011; Wallace & Webster 1996). Macroinvertebrate based biomonitoring approaches, including single biotic indices such as the South African Scoring System (SASS version 5; Dickens and Graham 2002), multimetric indices such as the index of biotic integrity (IBI-12) (Böhmer *et al.* 2004) and multivariate techniques such as the Australian River Assessment System (AUSRIVAS) (Turak *et al.* 2004) have been developed and applied to assess water quality of rivers and streams (Bonada *et al.* 2006; Jørgensen *et al.* 2005). In addition, invertebrates also have potential utility in assessing other environmental pressures such as sedimentation (Extence *et al.* 2011).

The use of benthic macroinvertebrates as biological indicators has been widely applied in river quality assessment, because these organisms are affected by changes in the natural variables of rivers such as width, depth, type of substratum, water velocity, and physicochemical variables which are provoked by both natural and human activities (Nair *et al.* 1989; Rosenberg & Resh 1993). The relative importance of these factors varies among streams in relation to the spatial and temporal scale of investigation. Aquatic macroinvertebrate communities are structured by a wide range of biotic and abiotic factors. These factors act as a template for the evolution of species traits creating selection pressures that adapt species successfully to occupy the variety of biotopes within a freshwater system (Li *et al.* 2010). Given the relation between macro-invertebrate taxa and the abiotic and biotic factors present in a creek, the benthic community can be used to monitor the quality of the aquatic environment because the community has a central role in the food web (Salgado *et al.* 2007). The spatial distribution of benthic invertebrates provides a sensitive indicator of the effect of land use (Hawkins *et al.* 2000).

The influence of environmental variability on macroinvertebrate communities and many other aspects of lotic benthos, however, has scarcely been

explored in most Afrotropical streams. Water bodies in Niger State are used for extensive fisheries activities and serve as spawning and nursery ground for a number of fish species, which depend largely on several macroinvertebrate organisms for survival. They are also used in the irrigation of crops as well as sources of drinking water for both human and cattle. Therefore, detailed studies are required to understand some aspects of ecology of macroinvertebrate taxa that exist therein as bioindicators to monitor the health of the water bodies. Information regarding the structure and functioning of macroinvertebrates in Gbako River on the physical and chemical status as well as the macroinvertebrates community is nonexistent. Therefore, the principal objectives of the study were to identify benthic invertebrate taxa and physicochemical variables that can act as indicators of water quality and catchment properties in time and space, and also to investigate relationships between invertebrate communities and selected environmental factors using canonical correspondence analysis (CCA).

Materials and methods

Description of study area

River Gbako (06° 05' – 06° 15'N; 09° 00'– 09° 15'E) is located at Badeggi in Katcha Local Government Area of Niger State. The river flows along a channel from north to south through Gbako, Shiroro, Chanchanga, Katcha, Baro and Logunma. The study site lies within the Savannah region in North Central Nigeria. It is characterized by two seasons (rainy and dry season). The rainy season is from April to October while the dry season is from November to March. For this research, the study area was divided into four Stations. Station 1 is located at Badeggi. The vegetation cover is thick with a dense tunnel of trees and consists mainly of emergent macrophytes, namely *Nymphaeae*, *Lotus*, etc. The marginal vegetation is composed mainly of *Cocos nucifera*, *Havea brasiliensis*, and *Raphia vinifera*. This station is located at the outskirts of the town and is relatively free from human activities. Substratum composition is muddy. Station 2 is at Jibo village. It is located under a non-motorable bridge. Aquatic vegetation was not thick as much as station 1. Water velocity is higher than stations 1 and 3 (mean value = 0.109 m s⁻¹). It represents the shallowest of the chosen stations (0.303 m). The vegetation consists mainly of *Commelina* spp.,




Fig. 1. Map of Gbako River showing the study stations. (Source: www.glocalimjournal.net 2014).




Fig. 2. Total Distribution of major benthic macroinvertebrate taxa along the Stations of Gbako river, Niger State, July to December, 2013.

Nymphaea spp., *Pistia stratiotes*, and *Panicum repens*. Artisan fishing, washing of clothes, and bathing are the major human activities that occur at this station. Substratum composition in this station is loamy. Station 3 is located in Katcha town, under a motorable bridge. It is 0.37 m – 0.70 m deep with flow velocity of 0.021 m s⁻¹ – 0.100 m s⁻¹. It has an open vegetation and, receives thermal radiation directly from sunlight. The vegetation consists mainly of terrestrial plants including *Musa* sp and shrubs such as *Acrosticum aureum*. Artisan fishing and grazing constitute majority of human activities here. Other human activities include washing of clothes and bathing. Substratum composition is mainly loamy and clay. Station 4 is located in Chiji outskirts. The location is also in an open place with large size. This station is the deepest (mean depth of 0.958 m) and has the highest flow velocity (mean flow velocity of 0.210 m s⁻¹) of all the chosen stations. The vegetation consists mainly of *Commelina* sp and *Nymphaea* sp. The site is relatively free from human activities, being situated far from human settlement. The substratum composition is mainly sand and silt.

Water Sampling for Physical and Chemical Variables

Water samples were collected monthly between July and December, 2013 at each Station. A mercury-in-glass thermometer was used for measuring temperature. A Hanna HI 9828 multi-probe metre was used for measuring values of dissolved oxygen (DO), electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids and pH. Average mid-channel water velocity was measured in three replicates by timing a float as it moved over a distance of 10 m (Gordon *et al.* 1994). Depth was measured in the sample area using a rod. Water samples were collected in 1-l plastic acid-washed bottles and transported to the laboratory in a cooler box containing ice. In the laboratory, water samples were analysed for nitrate, BOD₅, sulphate, phosphate and sodium according to APHA (1998) methods. Analysis of all samples commenced within 24 hours of sampling.

Macroinvertebrate sampling

Kick samples of macroinvertebrates were collected monthly (July–December, 2013) with a D-frame net (500- μ m mesh) within an approximately 25 m wadeable portion of the river. Four 3 min samples were taken on each sampling visit

to include all different substrata (vegetation, sand, and gravel biotypes) and flow regime zones (riffles, runs, and pools). The four samples were then pooled, representing a single sample for each site. Samples collected from the net were preserved in 70% ethanol. In the laboratory, samples were washed in a 500 μ m mesh sieve to remove sand. The macroinvertebrates were then sorted using a stereoscopic microscope. All animals were separated and enumerated and identified under a binocular dissecting microscope. Macroinvertebrate species were identified using available keys (Day *et al.* 2002; De Moor *et al.* 2003), and keys from Merritt and Cummins (1996) as well as assistance from macroinvertebrate taxonomist/specialists.

Data Analysis

The range, mean and standard deviation for each physical and chemical variable was calculated per station. Summary of biological metrics including abundance, number of taxa, Shannon diversity index, evenness, Margalef's index as well as physical and chemical variables were compared between stations using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Prior to ANOVA, the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance were tested using the Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests, respectively. When it was found that these assumptions were violated, data were log (x+1) transformed, except for pH. Fixed effect ANOVAs were performed using dates as replicates. Significant differences between stations indicated by ANOVA ($P < 0.05$) were followed by Tukey's post hoc HSD test. Taxa richness (Margalef's index), diversity (Shannon index) and evenness indices were calculated using the computer BASIC programme SP DIVERS (Ludwig & Reynolds 1988). The student t test was used to evaluate a significant difference between the wet and dry seasons in terms of macroinvertebrate composition collected during the study period from the Gbako River. Cluster analysis based on Bray–Curtis similarity index was used to ascertain whether macroinvertebrate assemblage distribution was influenced by differences in sampling stations or seasons. Cluster analysis was performed on log (x+1) transformed macroinvertebrate abundance data. T-test and cluster analysis were performed using PAST statistical package (Hammer *et al.* 2001). Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was used to evaluate relationships between macroinvertebrate communities and environmental variables using PAST

Table 1. Physicochemical characteristics at Gbako River study stations, July–December, 2013.

	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4
Water temperature (°C)	26.67±0.422 ^a	27.5 ± 0.671 ^a	26.02±0.920 ^a	26.17 ± 0.527 ^a
Depth (cm)	32.00±3.055 ^{ab}	26.33±2.060 ^b	31.67±4.104 ^{ab}	56.17±12.644 ^a
Flow velocity (m s ⁻¹)	0.75 ± 0.563 ^a	1.02 ± 0.280 ^a	0.95 ± 0.195 ^a	1.35 ± 0.327 ^a
pH	6.80 ± 0.192 ^a	7.15 ± 0.117 ^a	7.28 ± 0.114 ^a	7.06 ± 0.149 ^a
DO (mg L ⁻¹)	5.47 ± 0.180 ^a	4.03 ± 0.250 ^b	4.08 ± 0.280 ^b	5.57 ± 0.275 ^a
BOD (mg L ⁻¹)	3.10 ± 0.238 ^a	4.82 ± 0.202 ^b	5.05 ± 0.319 ^b	2.82 ± 0.178 ^a
Conductivity (µS cm ⁻¹)	55.83±11.226 ^a	71.33±7.915 ^a	61.83 ± 7.300 ^a	64.67 ± 8.098 ^a
Alkalinity (mg L ⁻¹)	9.54 ± 0.244 ^a	10.05±0.120 ^a	9.92 ± 0.105 ^a	9.45 ± 0.401 ^a
Phosphate (mg L ⁻¹)	0.11 ± 0.0220 ^a	1.02 ± 0.099 ^b	0.83 ± 0.081 ^b	0.21 ± 0.104 ^a
Nitrate (mg L ⁻¹)	0.60 ± 0.014 ^a	1.56 ± 0.016 ^b	1.46 ± 0.080 ^b	0.57 ± 0.019 ^a

Values are the means ± SE. Different superscript letters in a row show significant differences indicated by Turkey's HSD ($P < 0.05$).

statistical package (Hammer *et al.* 2001). CCA is a powerful tool for simplifying complex data sets, and, being a direct gradient analysis, it allows integrated analysis of both taxa and environmental data (ter Braak & Smilauer 2002). Prior to the final CCA, variables exhibiting high multi-collinearity (Pearson correlation $r > 0.80$, $P < 0.05$) were removed. Rare species, occurring less than 1% of sampling event at each sampling station, were not included in the CCA. Physical and chemical variables used for the CCA analysis were also log (x+1) transformed to prevent the undue influences of extreme values on the final CCA ordination. Species environment correlation coefficients provided a measure of the explanation of community patterns by individual physical and chemical variables. A Monte Carlo permutation test with 199 permutations (Jckel 1986) was used to assess the significance of the first three canonical axes.

Results

Physicochemical factors

The mean values and standard errors of the physical and chemical parameters of the study stations are shown in Table 1. Gbako River water is slightly acidic to neutral; also not very well oxygenated (4.03 mg L⁻¹ to 5.57 mg L⁻¹), with high levels of conductivity recorded in the four stations at some points (ranged between 32.00 µS cm⁻¹ to 110.00 µS cm⁻¹). Higher values of BOD were observed in Stations 2 and 3 than other stations. The highest value of BOD (6.00 mg L⁻¹) in all the stations was recorded in July in station 3 as a

result of low DO value. The values for alkalinity, Phosphate, and Nitrates ranged from 7.50 mg L⁻¹ to 10.50 mg L⁻¹, 0.07 mg L⁻¹ to 1.40 mg L⁻¹, and 0.50 mg L⁻¹ to 1.67 mg L⁻¹, respectively. There was no steady trend of increase or decrease in phosphate levels in all the stations. The monthly nitrate values varied among the stations. Repeated measures ANOVA showed that water temperature, flow velocity, pH, conductivity, and alkalinity were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) in all Stations sampled. However, depth, dissolved oxygen, BOD, phosphates and nitrates were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) along the stations but did not show wide variation.

Distribution and composition of macroinvertebrates community in the study area

There were a total of 676 individuals from 41 invertebrate taxa in 27 families from 9 orders were collected from the four stations, dominated by aquatic insects (Table 2). Other fauna included Molluscs and Crustaceans. The overall abundance of benthic invertebrates was not significantly different ($P = 0.480$, $F_{cal} = 0.8438$, $F_{tab/crit} = 2.9011$) among the stations. The highest number of families was found among Coleopterans, with eight families. The distribution of major benthic macroinvertebrate taxa is shown in Fig. 2. The total number of taxa and individuals found at stations 1, 2, 3, and 4 were 29 (120 ind m⁻²), 29 (149 ind m⁻²), 23 (203 ind m⁻²), and 24 (204 ind m⁻²) respectively, making stations 1 and 2 the highest joint contributors of taxa and Station 3 the least important contributor of taxa. There was an abundance of pollution sensitive taxa




Fig. 3. Monthly variations of the macrobenthic invertebrates in the different study Stations of Gbako River, Niger State.

such as Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Coleopteran (*Gyrinus* spp., *Dytiscus* spp.) and Anisoptera (*Gomphus* sp., *Lestinogomphus*, *Cordulex* spp.) in all the stations, especially the upper and lower reaches, whereas on the other hand, some pollution tolerant species like the Crustaceans, Dipterans, Mollusca (*Neritina rubricate*, *Potadoma*) were merely restricted to the middle reaches (stations 2 and 3). There was a paucity of Plecoptera in the study with only one individual of *Neoperla* sp. of the Perlidae in station 1.

Spatio-temporal dynamics in population density

The monthly variation of the macrobenthic invertebrates in the four different study stations is shown in Fig. 3. The relative abundance of benthic invertebrates in the study area during dry and wet seasons in 2013 is shown in Fig. 4. The month of October (dry season) recorded the highest number (abundance) of individuals (143 ind m⁻²) followed closely by the month of December (dry season) (127 ind m⁻²), while the month of November (dry season) had the lowest account of individual (abundance) representatives (88 ind m⁻²). Station 4, in the month of October (dry season), recorded the highest number of individuals (47 ind m⁻²) while Station 1, in the month of November (dry season), recorded the lowest number (4 ind m⁻²). ANOVA (using two-way) conducted for the months and Stations suggested that total invertebrate abundance did neither vary significantly ($P > 0.05$) ($F_{cal} = 0.4730$, $F_{crit} = 2.9013$, $P = 0.7907$) across the months nor vary significantly ($P > 0.05$)

Table 2. Taxon richness, diversity, evenness and dominance indices of benthic macroinvertebrates in Gbako River, Niger State, July to December, 2013.

	Stations			
	1	2	3	4
No of Taxa/Species (S)	29	29	23	24
No of Individuals (N)	120	149	203	204
Taxa richness (d) (Margalef's index)	5.85	5.60	4.14	4.33
Shannon Diversity (H)	2.88	2.62	2.40	2.30
Evenness index (E)	0.61	0.63	0.57	0.50
Simpson dominance (C)	0.92	0.92	0.89	0.87

($F_{cal} = 0.7773$, $F_{crit} = 3.2873$, $P = 0.5247$) among the Stations. This implied that neither the Stations nor the months structured the distribution of invertebrates accounted for in this study. Of the total number of individual benthic invertebrates recorded during the entire study, 53 % was recorded in the dry season while the remaining 47 % was recorded in the wet season (Fig. 4). However, this difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$) when the student t-test ($t_{stat/cal} = 0.3883$, $t_{crit} = 2.447$) for the densities and abundances of macroinvertebrates recorded during the two sampling seasons was applied.

Diversity, evenness, dominance, taxon richness, and similarity indices

Taxon richness, diversity, evenness, dominance, and similarity indices of macrobenthic invertebrates, calculated for the four stations are depicted in Table 3. Taxa richness was highest in station 1 (5.8) closely followed by that from station 2 (5.6), while much lower values were recorded in stations 3 and 4 with 1.6 and 1.7, respectively. Diversity increased from station 4 through station 1 (of 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, and 2.9, respectively). Evenness value was highest in station 2 (0.63), followed closely by station 1 (0.61), then station 3 (0.57). However, the lowest value of evenness was recorded in station 4. The values of dominance for the four stations were similar. The highest value was recorded in station 2 (0.92) and the lowest value




Fig 4. Relative abundances of total benthic invertebrates in the study area during dry and wet seasons in 2013.

(0.87) in station 4. The highest values of taxon richness and Shannon diversity were recorded in station 1. Conversely, station 1 was the station with the lowest values of Shannon diversity, evenness, and Simpson's dominance.

Influence of environmental parameters on macroinvertebrate assemblages

The influence of Environmental Parameters on macroinvertebrate assemblages is shown in Figure 5 and Table 4. The canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) ordination also showed very significant relationships between species abundances and measured environmental parameters. The total extent of variation or inertia in macro-invertebrate assemblage composition in the studied Stations was equivalent to 1.00 eigenvalues, where 0.481, 0.276 and 0.238 were for axis 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The ten physico-chemical parameters could explain total variance of 56.5 %. However, the first canonical axis (axis 1) accounted for 27.3% of the variation in the data set, the second axis (axis 2) account for 15.67% of the variation in the data set while the third axis (axis 3) accounted for 13.51% of the data set. All canonical axes were significant (an unrestricted Monte Carlo permutation test, $P < 0.05$). Temperature, flow velocity, conductivity, alkalinity and phosphate determined the main environmental gradient (axis 1), while the second environmental gradient (axis 2) was determined by depth, pH, BOD, and nitrate. There was strong correlation between temperature, DO, BOD and phosphate, and the third environmental gradient (axis 3). It was observed that most of the samples taken from Stations 1, 3, and 4 were observed around the centre of the CCA graph, while most of the samples taken from Station 2 were located on the right.

Table 3. Weighted intraset correlations of environmental variables with the axes of canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) in Gbako River, Niger State.

Variable	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3
Eigenvalue	0.481	0.276	0.238
% variation of species data explained	27.3	15.67	13.51
Temperature	0.42	-0.01	-0.42
Dept	-0.24	-0.46	0.028
Flow velocity	0.43	-0.14	0.18
pH	-0.02	-0.36	0.25
DO	0.14	0.30	0.41
BoD	-0.13	-0.38	-0.47
Conductivity	0.86	0.01	-0.03
Alkalinity	0.31	-0.01	-0.07
Phosphate	0.45	-0.12	-0.39
Nitrate	-0.29	0.32	0.26

Significance of the axis by the Monte Carlo permutation test is given by $F = 1.763$ ($P < 0.05$). All canonical axes were significant. Values in bold indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$.

Discussion

The quality of a given water body is controlled by its physical, chemical and biological factors, all of which interact with one another to influence its productivity (Keke *et al.* 2015). Water quality is often affected by the discharge of organic and inorganic materials or pollutants into a water body with observable changes in the biotic community and abundance (number of individuals) and diversity (Arimoro & Ikomi 2008; Beyene *et al.* 2008; Nedeau *et al.* 2003; Novotny *et al.* 2005, Arimoro *et al.* 2015; Nelson & Roline 2003; Reuda *et al.* 2002; Zabbey & Hart 2006).

In this study, the values of most water parameters of the stream (high DO, low BOD and nutrient) fell within the limits of an unpolluted environment, and were suitable for most aquatic organisms. Adequate dissolved oxygen is necessary for good water quality as oxygen is a necessary element to all forms of life (Keke *et al.* 2016). Nitrate and phosphates can reach both surface water and groundwater as a consequence of agricultural activities (including excess application of inorganic nitrogenous fertilizers and manures),

diverse nature of the vegetation of the littoral zone of the various stations sampled. The macroinvertebrates of Gbako River was dominated mostly by the insect groups, and this is similar to the observations made by Imoobe (2008) and Arimoro *et al.* (2015). The presence of Coleopteran (*Gyrinus* spp., *Dytiscus* spp.), Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Tricoptera, Anisoptera and Odonata in any aquatic body has been reported to reflect clean water conditions (Miserendino & Pizzolon 2003). Therefore, the abundance of these organisms in the study stations is an indication that Gbako River is relatively free from gross perturbation. This is supported by related studies conducted in similar freshwater bodies in Nigeria and elsewhere (Arimoro *et al.* 2007; Arimoro *et al.* 2015; Ikomi *et al.* 2005; Nelson & Roline 2003; Reuda *et al.* 2002) which have already linked the presence of these organisms in a site to clean water conditions, since they are very sensitive to reductions in DO and are not found in areas where oxygen levels are consistently low. This study revealed that macroinvertebrate communities were factored by variations in water quality along the various reaches of the river as confirmed by higher diversity, dominance and taxa richness at station 1 as against the others.

Spatio-temporal differences in macroinvertebrate abundance (number of individuals) and diversity were recorded between the different sampling months in this study. On average, slightly higher abundances of benthic invertebrates were recorded during the dry season (53.1%) than in the wet season (47%). However, statistically, the t-test did not show any significant difference ($P > 0.05$) for the months, stations or the seasons. Increased flow during the rainy season usually leads to a reduction in macroinvertebrate diversity in tropical streams because of effects of wash off from the surrounding catchment and the dislodgement of taxa with no adhesive features (Arimoro & Ikomi 2008). This was consistent with the findings of Arimoro *et al.* (2012), Akaahan (2014), Arimoro *et al.* (2015) who also recorded higher macroinvertebrate abundance (number of individuals) and diversity in the dry season compared to the rainy season. These authors suggested that dry season usually favours diverse macroinvertebrate taxa because of less wash-off effects. Edokpayi *et al.* (2000) and Tumwesigye *et al.* (2000) are also in support of this. Conversely, Beyene *et al.* (2008) who worked on Ethiopian highland River and Arimoro *et al.* (2011) who worked on a southern Nigerian creek recorded

higher abundances of benthic macroinvertebrates during the wet season than in the dry season. They suggested that this may have been a response to the reduction of environmental stresses by the rain water dilution and washout effects of the rainy season restoring those natural habitat conditions that favoured pollution-sensitive taxa.

Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) clearly differentiated the less polluted from the more polluted sites and also showed very significant relationships between species abundances and measured environmental parameters - this clearly revealed that most taxa were sensitive to environmental changes. This is in line with the observations of Bere (2014), who observed a similar trend of sensitivity in the benthic diatoms in a tropical river system in São Carlos-SP, Brazil. Conductivity, nitrates, and phosphates were identified as important variables that factored the macroinvertebrate assemblages. Stations 2 and 3 had the highest values for conductivity, nitrates and phosphates between them while stations 1 and 4 had higher DO levels and lower levels of conductivity, nitrates and phosphates between them than other stations. The correlation of many environmental variables with the axis were relatively high with the CCA but were not observed to be statistically significant. However, the perceived differences may be linked to the effects of some unmeasured environmental parameters. More of sensitive benthic invertebrates were recorded in stations 1 and 4 than stations 2 and 3 as these species are favored by higher DO levels and lower levels of conductivity, nitrates and phosphates. High concentrations of nitrates and phosphates may indicate eutrophication of the water body, which may lead to oxygen depletion in the water body, and this condition affects macrobenthic invertebrate assemblages since they are reliant on oxygen availability. The perceived presence of some pollution tolerant taxa in stations 2 and 3 could be regarded as early warning signals of pollution loads. The water quality status was reflected throughout the stations by the values recorded for species diversity, richness, dominance and evenness indices. The highest diversity, dominance and taxa richness at Station 1 and can be attributed to high water quality and good habitat quality of this station. The plausible reason for this is that human activities were drastically reduced there as confirmed with the physicochemical variables. This may be an indication that the substratum

was more stable than at the other stations. The forest vegetation that surrounded station 1 was a good source of allochthonous organic matter for stream biota as well as helped maintain low water temperatures and provided diverse habitats for a variety of macroinvertebrates, leading to increased diversity.

In conclusion, the low relative abundance of pollution sensitive organisms, especially the EPTs (Ephemeroptera – Plecoptera – Tricoptera) clearly shows that Gbako River is already stressed across its reaches. However, water quality was perturbed more during the rainy season, possibly because of the unstable nature of the substrates and the inputs of stormwater during the rainy season months, as observed by the increase in diversity and abundance during the dry season.

Acknowledgements

This research was sponsored by Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund), through a research grant to Keke, Ndubuisi Unique (TETFUND/DESS/POLY/BIDA/RP/VOL 11/NO 11) in The Federal Polytechnic, Bida, Niger State and further support from Federal University of Technology, Minna TETFUND GRANT (FUTMIN-NA/2014/7) offered to the second author.

References

- Adakole, J. A. & P. A. Annune. 2003. Benthic macroinvertebrates as indicators of environmental quality of an urban stream, Zaria, Northern Nigeria. *Journal of Aquatic Science* **18**: 85–92.
- Akaahan, T. J. A. 2014. Studies on benthic fauna as bioindicators of pollution in river benue at Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Environment Sciences* **3**: 33–38.
- APHA (American Public Health Association). 1998. *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater* (20th edn). Washington DC: American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water and Environment Federation. Available online at <http://www.apha.waterwaste.com>. Retrieved on 24th July, 2014.
- Arimoro, F. O., N. O. Odume, S. I. Uhunoma & A. O. Edegbene. 2015. Anthropogenic impact on water chemistry and benthic macroinvertebrate associated changes in a southern Nigeria stream. *Environmental Monitoring Assessment* **187**: 1–14.
- Arimoro, F. O. & R. B. Ikomi. 2008. Response of macroinvertebrate communities to abattoir wastes and other anthropogenic activities in a municipal stream in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Environmentalist* **28**: 85–98.
- Arimoro, F. O., R. B. Ikomi & C. M. A. Iwegbue. 2007a. Ecology and abundance of Oligochaetes as indicators of organic pollution in an Urban Stream in Southern Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science* **10**: 446–453.
- Arimoro, F. O., F. O. Nwadukwe & K. I. Mordi. 2011. The influence of habitat and environmental water quality on the structure and composition of the adult aquatic Insect fauna of the Ethiopie River, Delta State, Nigeria. *Tropical Zoology* **24**: 159–171.
- Arimoro, F. O., G. E. Obi-Iyeke & P. J. Obukeni. 2012. Spatiotemporal variation of Macroinvertebrates in relation to canopy cover and other environmental factors in Eriora River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Environmental Monitoring Assessment* **184**: 6449–6461.
- Bere, T. 2014. Ecological preferences of benthic diatoms in a tropical river system in São Carlos-SP, Brazil. *Tropical Ecology* **55**: 47–61.
- Beyene, A., W. Legesse, L. Triest & H. Kloos. 2008. Urban impact on ecological integrity of nearby rivers in developing countries: the Borkena River in highland Ethiopia. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* **153**: 146–161.
- Böhmer, J., C. Rawer-Jost & A. Zenker. 2004. Multimetric assessment of data provided by water managers from Germany: assessment of several different types of stressors with Macrozoobenthos Communities. *Hydrobiologia* **516**: 215–228.
- Bonada, N., N. Prat, V. H. Resh & B. Statzner. 2006. Development in aquatic insect biomonitoring: A comparative analysis of recent approaches. *Annual Review of Entomology* **51**: 495–523.
- Day, J. A., A. D. Harrison & I. J. De Moor. 2002. *Guides to the Fresh Water Invertebrates of Southern Africa* (Vol 9). Water Research Commission, Pretoria.
- De Moor, I. J., J. A. Day & F. C. De Moor. 2003. *Guides to the Fresh Water Invertebrates of Southern Africa* (Vol 7). Water Research Commission, Pretoria.
- Dickens, C. W. S. & P. M. Graham. 2002. The South Africa Scoring System (SASS) version 5 rapid bioassessment method for rivers. *African Journal of Aquatic Science* **27**: 1–10.
- Edokpayi, C. A., M. O. Lawal, N. A. Okwok & C. A. Ogunwenmo. 2004. Physico-chemical and macrobenthic faunal characteristics of Kuramo water, Lagos, southern Nigeria. *African Journal of Aquatic Science* **29**: 235–241.
- Edokpayi, C. A., J. C. Okenyi, A. E. Ogbeibu & E. C. Osimen. 2000. The effects of human activities on the macrobenthic invertebrates of Ibiekuma stream, Ekpoma, Nigeria. *Bioscience Research Communi-*

- cations* **12**: 79–87.
- Emere, M. C. & C. E. Nasiru. 2009. Macroinvertebrates as indicators of the water quality of an urbanized Stream, Kaduna Nigeria. *Nature and Science* **7**: 1–7.
- Extence, C. A., R. P. Chadd, J. England, M. J. Dunbar, P. J. Wood & E. D. Taylor. 2011. The Assessment of fine sediment accumulation in rivers using Macroinvertebrate community response. *River Research and Applications* **4**: 234–241.
- Ghani, W. M. H., C. S. M. Rawi, S. A. Hamid, S. A. Al-Shami, A. H. Ahmad & A. N. Hassan. 2016. Variation in environmental conditions influences diversity and abundance of Ephemeroptera in forest streams of northern Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropical Ecology* **57**: 489–501.
- Gordon, N. D, T. A. McMahon, B. L. Finlayson. 1994. *Stream Hydrology, an Introduction for Ecologists*. New York, Wiley.
- Hammer, Ø., D. A. T. Harper & P. D. Ryan. 2001. PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **4**: 9 pp. http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm Accessed 10 October 2013.
- Hawkins, C. P., R. H. Norris, J. Gerritsen, R. M. Hughes, S. K. Jackson, R. K. Johnson & R. J. Stevenson. 2000. Evaluation of the use of landscape classifications for the prediction of freshwater biota: synthesis and recommendations. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* **19**: 541–556.
- Ikomi, R. B., F. O. Arimoro & O. K. Odihirin. 2005. Composition, distribution, and abundance of macroinvertebrates of the upper reaches of River Ethiopie, Delta State, Nigeria. *The Zoologist* **3**: 68–81.
- Imoobe, T. O. T. 2008. Variation in benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in Ologe Lagoon, Nigeria. *African Journal of Aquatic Science* **33**: 45–50.
- Jckel, K. 1986. Finite sample properties and asymptotic efficiency of Monte Carlo tests. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **14**: 85–118.
- Jørgensen, S. E., R. Costanza & F. L. Xu. 2005. *Handbook of Ecological Indicators for Assessment of Ecosystem Health*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Kasangaki, A., D. Babaasa, J. Efitre, A. McNeilage & R. Bitariho. 2006. Links between anthropogenic perturbations and benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in Afromontane forest streams in Uganda. *Hydrobiologia* **563**: 231–245.
- Keke, U. N., F. O. Arimoro, A. V. Ayanwale & S. M. Aliyu. 2015. Physicochemical parameters and heavy Metals content of surface water in downstream Kaduna River, Zungeru, Niger state, Nigeria. *Applied Science Research Journal* **3**: 46–57.
- Keke, U. N., M. B. Aliyu, F. O. Arimoro, Awe, E. 2016. Assessment of the quality of selected borehole water in the Federal Polytechnic, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin* **25**: 3475–3483.
- Kolo, R. J & A. A. Oladimeji. 2004. Water quality and some nutrient levels in Shiroro Lake, Niger State, Nigeria. *Journal of Aquatic Sciences* **19**: 99–106.
- Li, L., B. Zheng & L. Liu. 2010. Biomonitoring and bioindicators used for river ecosystems: definitions, approaches and trends. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* **2**: 1510–1524.
- Ludwig, J. A & J. F. Reynolds. 1988. *Statistical Ecology: a Primer on Methods and Computing*. New York, Wiley/Interscience.
- Merritt, R. W. & K. W. Cummins. 1996. *An Introduction to the Aquatic Insects of North America* (3rd ed.). Kendall-Hunt, Dubuque.
- Miserendino, M. L. & L. A. Pizzolon. 2003. Distribution of macroinvertebrate assemblages in the Azual-Quemquemtreu river basin, Patagonia, Argentina, New Zealand. *Journal of Marine Fresh Water Resources* **36**: 525–539.
- Nair, N. B., M. Arunachalam, K. C. M. Nair & H. Suryanarayanan. 1989. A spatial study of the Neyyar river in light of the river-continuum-concept. *Tropical Ecology* **30**: 101–110.
- Nedeau, E. J., R. W. Merritt & M. Kaufman. 2003. The effect of an industrial effluent on an urban stream benthic community: water quality vs. habitat quality. *Environmental Pollution* **123**: 1–3.
- Nelson, S. M. & R. A. Roline. 2003. Effects of multiple stressors on the hyporheic invertebrates in a lotic system. *Ecological Indicators* **3**: 65–79.
- Novotny, V., A. Bartsova, N. O. Reilly & T. Ehlinger. 2005. Unlocking the relationship of biotic integrity of impaired waters to anthropogenic stresses. *Water Research* **39**: 184–198.
- Odume, O. N. & W. J. Muller. 2011. Diversity and structure of Chironomidae communities in relation to water quality differences in the Swartkops River. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* **36**: 929–938.
- Reuda, J., A. Camacho, F. Mezquita, R. Hernandez & J. R. Roca. 2002. Effect of episodic and regular sewage discharge on water chemistry and macroinvertebrate fauna of a Mediterranean stream. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution* **140**: 425–444.
- Rosenberg, D. M. & V. H. Resh (eds). 1993. *Fresh Water Biomonitoring and Benthic Macroinvertebrates*. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Salgado, J. P., H. N. Cabral & M. J. Costa. 2007. Spatial and temporal distribution patterns of Macrozoobenthos assemblages in the salt marshes of Tejo

- Estuary (Portugal). *Hydrobiologia* **587**: 225–239.
- ter Braak, C. J. K. & P. Smilauer. 2002. CANOCO reference manual and Canoco draw for Windows user's guide: software for canonical community ordination (Version 4.5). Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, New York.
- Turak, E., N. Waddel & G. Johnstone. 2004. *Australian River Assessment System (AUSRIVAS) - sampling and processing manual*. Department of Environment and Conservation Press, Sydney.
- Tumwesigye, C., S. K. Yusuf & B. Makanga. 2000. Structure and composition of benthic macro-invertebrates of a tropical forest stream, river Nyamweru, Western Uganda. *African Journal of Ecology* **38**: 72–77.
- Umeham, S. N. 1992. Some aspects of physico chemical limnology of Lake Chad (southern sector). *Journal of Aquatic Sciences* **2**: 21–32.
- Wallace, J. B. & J. R. Webster. 1996. The role of macroinvertebrates in stream ecosystem function. *Annual Review of Entomology* **41**:115–139.
- Zabbey, N. & A. Z. Hart. 2006. Influence of some physiochemical parameters on the composition and distribution of benthic fauna in Woji Creek, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences* **12**: 1–5.

(Received on 31.08.2015 and accepted after revisions, on 18.02.2016)

Supplementary Table 1. The overall composition, abundance, and distribution of macroinvertebrate taxa in Gbako River, Niger State, July to December, 2013.

Taxon	Code	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	All Stations
Order Coleoptera						
Family Dystiscida						
<i>Philaccolus</i> sp.	Phi	1	7	7	1	16
<i>Canthoyporus</i> sp.	Can	1	3	-	-	4
<i>Cybister</i> sp.	Cyb	2	1	-	-	3
<i>Philodytes</i> sp.	Phl	10	-	4	1	15
<i>Coelhyrus</i> sp.	Coe	1	1	-	5	7
<i>Hyphydrus</i> sp.	Hyp	-	7	-	-	7
Family Gyridae						
<i>Orectogyrus</i> sp.	Ore	7	5	-	2	14
Family Notonelidae						
<i>Hydrocanthus</i> sp.	Hyd	2	2	-	2	6
Family Corixidae						
<i>Micronecta</i> sp.	Mic	-	-	-	2	2
Family Hyraenidae						
<i>Hydrophilia</i> sp.	Hyr	2	3	-	-	5
Family Aeshnidae						
<i>Aeshnx</i> sp.	Aes	-	1	-	-	1
Family Hydrophilidae						
<i>Helochares</i> sp.	Hel	-	1	4	8	13
<i>Hydrophilus</i> sp.	Hyo	-	3	-	8	11
Elmida larva						
<i>Elmid</i>	Elm	-	3	3	-	6
Total		26	37	18	29	110
Order Ephemeroptera						
Family Baetidae						
<i>Pseudocloeon</i> sp.	Pse	8	3	5	1	17
<i>Bugillisia</i> sp.	Bug	15	22	40	37	114
<i>Cloeon</i> sp.	Clo	1	1	2	-	4
Family Oligoneuridae						
<i>Oligoneux</i> sp.	Oli	2	-	-	1	3
Family Polymitarcyidae						
<i>Polymix</i> sp.	Pol	-	-	-	3	3
Total		26	26	47	42	141
Order Zygoptera						
Family Calopterygidae						
<i>Calopteryx</i> sp.	Cal	9	11	13	4	37
Family Coenagrionidae						
<i>Coenagrion</i> sp.	Coe	4	4	4	11	23
Family Platycnemididae						
<i>Platycnemid</i> sp.	Pla	-	3	-	-	3

Contd...

Supplementary Table 1. Continued.

Taxon	Code	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	All Stations
<i>Mesocnemis</i> sp.	Mes	-	-	2	-	2
Total		13	18	19	15	65
Order Anisoptera						
Family Libellulidae						
<i>Bradinopyga</i> sp.	Bra	2	22	-	17	41
<i>Brachythemus leucostica</i>	Brl	14	5	26	17	62
<i>Xyxomma</i> sp.	Xyx	1	1	-	-	2
Family Gomphidae						
<i>Gomphus</i> sp.	Gom	6	1	3	2	12
<i>Lestinogomphus</i> sp.	Les	3	-	1	-	4
Family Corduliidae						
<i>Cordulex</i> sp.	Cor	2	2	-	1	5
Total		28	31	30	37	126
Order Hemiptera						
Family Hydrometrida						
<i>Hydrometra</i> sp.	Hyd	2	2	2	6	12
Family Nepidae						
<i>Nepa</i> sp.	Nep	2	-	5	-	7
Family Velidae						
<i>Velia caprai</i>	Vec	-	11	25	18	54
Total		4	13	32	24	73
Order Diptera						
Family Psychodidae						
<i>Psychodid</i> sp.	Psy	1	-	-	-	1
Family Tabanidae						
<i>Tabanus</i> sp.	Tab	-	-	1	-	1
Total		1	-	1	-	2
Order Mollusca						
Gastropoda						
<i>Neritina rubricate</i>	Ner	1	14	13	-	28
Family Sphaeriidae						
<i>Sphaerudux</i> sp.	Sph	1	2	3	2	8
Family Thiaridae						
<i>Potadoma</i>	Psc	1	7	3	2	13
Family Unimidae						
<i>Unimx</i> sp.	Uni	-	1	6	2	9
Total		3	24	25	6	58
Order Crustacea						
Family Potamonatidae						
<i>Sudanonantes</i> sp.	Pot	1	-	1	-	2
Amphiphoda	Amp	17	-	30	51	98
Total		18	-	31	51	100
Order Plecoptera						
Family Perlidae						
<i>Neoperla</i> sp.	Neo	1	-	-	-	1
Total No of Taxa/Species (S)		29	29	23	24	
Total Sum of Individuals (N)		120	149	203	204	676