

**EFFECTS OF IMPROVED BEEHIVE TECHNOLOGIES ADOPTION ON INCOME
AND WELFARE STATUS OF BEEKEEPERS IN EKITI STATE, NIGERIA**

BY

**OLORUNDA, Olabode George
MTech/SAAT/2019/9339**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE POST GRADUATE SCHOOL FEDERAL
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, MINNA, NIGERIA IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF
TECHNOLOGY IN AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND RURAL SOCIOLOGY**

July, 2023

ABSTRACT

The act of keeping bees has evolved to be a very lucrative agricultural practice for local peoples in developing countries of the world. Specifically this study was to assess the effects of improved beehive technologies adoption on income and welfare status of beekeepers in Ekiti State, Nigeria. Six objectives were drawn for the study socio economic characteristics of beekeepers, ascertain the adoption level of improved beehive technologies by beekeepers, determines the factors influencing the improved beehive technologies adopted, determine the income and welfare status of beekeepers in the study area, examine the effects of improved beehive technologies adopted on beekeepers income and welfare status and; examine the constraints associated with improved beehive technologies adoption by beekeepers. Multistage sampling technique was used to select 249 respondents for the study. Structured questionnaire complemented with an interview schedule were used to collect primary data from respondents. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics (such as frequency count, percentage and mean) and inferential statistics (such as the ordinary least square (OLS), Gini coefficient and Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUREG)). The results revealed that the average age of respondents was 59 years, Above 82.0% had tertiary education, and also, 84.7% and 90.0% of the respondents had access to extension agents and credit facilities respectively. The result of level of improved beehive technologies adoption revealed that hives inspection ($\bar{X} = 2.9$), baiting ($\bar{X} = 2.9$), pests and diseases management ($\bar{X} = 2.9$), and hives installation ($\bar{X} = 2.9$), were major technologies adopted by the beekeepers in the study areas. The result of factors influencing improved beehives technologies adoption reveals that coefficient of determination (R^2) value was 0.8455 implying that about 84% variations in beehives technologies adoption. The result of the Gini coefficient showed inequality in income distribution with a GI of 0.70 among the respondent. The income model result of the SUREG showed that baiting (67269.73), hive management (410907.1), harvesting and removal of comb (2685.697) and marketing of bee products (14049.11) had a significant and positive influence on the income of beekeepers, while baiting (0.9928245), hive management (1.999738), monitoring of hives (.0399083) and marketing of bee products (.0274556) had a significant effect and positive influence on the welfare status of beekeepers. The welfare model result of level of welfare indicators of beekeepers revealed that increase in income annually ($\bar{X} = 2.9$) and eating three square meal ($\bar{X} = 3.0$) payment of house rent ($\bar{X} = 2.9$), additional income ($\bar{X} = 2.9$) and payment of children school fees ($\bar{X} = 2.3$) were high welfare indicators of the respondents. The result of constraints associated with adoption of improved beehives technologies in the study area revealed that pastoralist herdsmen inversion/theft ($\bar{X} = 2.9$), pests and predators ($\bar{X} = 2.9$) and indiscriminate agro-chemical usage ($\bar{X} = 2.8$), were the major constraints hindering the improved beehives technologies adoption by beekeepers in the study areas. Based on the findings of the study, it was concluded that beekeepers were gradually out of their active and productive age. Majorities were retiree from civil service and there is low level of youth involvement in beekeeping. The study recommended that beekeeper associations across the study area and other relevant stakes holders at three tiers of government Federal Government, State Government and Local Government should intensify training on the efficient use of bee technologies to improve on the income and welfare status of the beekeepers and encourage youth's participation to increase Nation economy.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Pages
Cover Page	
Title page	i
DECLARATION	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
CHAPTER ONE	1
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Research Problem	5
1.3 Aim and Objectives of the Study	6
1.4 Hypotheses of the Study	7
1.5 Justification of the Study	7
CHAPTER TWO	9
2.0 Literature review	9
2.1 Adoption of agricultural technologies	9
2.2 Global view of Beekeeping	11
2.3 Overview of beekeeping in Nigeria	13
2.4 Bee hives technologies	15
2.5 Importance of Beekeeping (Honey bee)	19
2.6 Management and improved technologies used in beekeeping	22
2.7 Concept of income generation	27
2.8 Concept of welfare	27
2.9 Empirical Review of past Literatures	29
2.9.1 Socio-economics characteristics of the beekeepers	29

2.9.2	Effects of improved beehive technologies adoption on welfare status of beekeepers	31
2.9.3	Factors influencing improved beehive technologies adoption by beekeepers	33
2.9.4	Effects of improved beehive technologies adoption on income and welfare status of beekeepers	39
2.9.5	Constraints associated with improved beehive technologies adoption	41
2.10	Theoretical Framework	45
2.10.1	Stages of adoption modelling approach	45
2.10.2	Theory of reasoned action (TRA)	46
2.10.3	Theory of planned behavior (TPB)	47
2.10.4	Theory of interpersonal behavior (TIB)	47
2.10.5	Technology acceptance model (TAM)	48
2.10.6	Diffusion of innovations theory (DOI)	49
2.11	Conceptual Framework	52
CHAPTER THREE		56
3.0	Methodology	56
3.1	The Study Area	56
3.2	Sampling Procedure and Sample Size	59
3.3	Method of Data Collection	59
3.4	Validity and Reliability test for Data Collection Instrument	60
3.4.1	Validity	60
3.4.2	Reliability test	61
3.5	Measurement of Variables	61
3.5.1	Dependent Variable	61
3.5.2	Independent variables	62
3.6	Method of Data Analysis	64

3.7	Model Specification	64
3.7.1	Gini Coefficient	64
3.7.2	Seemingly Unrelated Regression Model (SUREG)	65
3.7.3	Ordinary Least Square Regression Model	66
3.7.4	Test of Hypothesis	66
3.7.5	A priori expectation	67
CHAPTER FOUR		68
4.1	Result and Discussion	68
4.2	Socio-economic Characteristics of Beekeepers	68
4.1.1	Age of the of Beekeepers	68
4.1.2	Sex of the of Beekeepers	68
4.1.3	Marital Status of Beekeepers	69
4.1.4	Level of education of the Beekeepers	69
4.1.5	Beekeeping experience	70
4.1.6	Sources of land	71
4.1.7	Institutional variables assessed	71
4.1.8	Distribution of beekeepers according to methods of beehives production	75
4.2	Level of adoption of improved bee hive technologies by bee keepers	76
4.3	Factors Influencing Improved Beehives Technologies Adoption by beekeepers	78
4.4	Income and Welfare Status of the beekeepers	81
4.4.1	Income generation among the beekeepers	81
4.4.2	Welfare status of beekeepers	82
4.5	Effects of Improved Beehive Technologies Adoption on Income and Welfare status of the beekeepers	84
4.6	Constraint Associated with Improved Beehives Technologies Adoption	86
4.7	Hypothesis Testing	88

CHAPTER FIVE	90
5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	90
5.1 Conclusion	90
5.2 Recommendation	90
5.3 Contributions to knowledge	92
5.4 Suggestion for further studies	93
REFERENCES	94
APPENDIX (Questionnaire)	108

LIST OF TABLES

Table	
3.1 Distribution of beekeepers in the Study Area	60
3.2 A priori expectation of the variables	67
4.1 Distribution of beekeepers according to socio economic characteristics of the respondents	70
4.2 Methods of beehives production by the beekeepers	75
4.3 Distribution of beekeepers according to level of improved beehive technologies adoption	77
4.4 Regression on factors influencing improved beehives technologies adoption	79
4.5 Income distribution among beekeepers	82
4.6 Distribution of beekeepers according to level of welfare status in the study	83
4.7 Effects of improved beehives technologies adoption on the income and welfare status of the beekeepers	85
4.8 Constraint associated with adoption of improved beehives technologies	88
4.9 PPMC analysis showing relationship between level of adoption of improved beehive technologies and welfare status	89

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

2.1	Communication models of agricultural technologies	10
2.2	Improved Bee hives	18
2.3	Effects of improved beehive technologies adoption on beekeepers income and welfare status in Ekiti State, Nigeria	55
3.1	Map of Nigeria showing Ekiti State	57
3.2	Map of Ekiti State Indicating the Selected Local Government Areas	58
4.1	Distribution of beekeepers according to membership of community cooperative	72
4.2	Distribution of beekeepers according to the extension contact	73
4.3	Distribution of beekeepers according to frequency of extension contact	74
4.4	Distribution of beekeepers according to access to credit	75