ASSESSMENT OF FARMERS' ADAPTATION STRATEGIES TO FLOOD RELATED LOSSES IN ZONE ONE AREA OF EKITI STATE, NIGERIA

Jibrin

S., Salihu

I. T., Mohammed

U. H., Abdullahi, A.

Mohmmed, Y

Dept. of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Federal University of Technology Minna, Niger State, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

This study assesses farmers' adaptation strategies to flood related losses in zone one area of Ekiti State, Nigeria. The objectives were to; examine the perceived effects of flood on the production of farmers, examine the adaptation strategies to flood related losses by farmers, analyze the determinants of the adaptation strategies to flood related losses in the area and examine constraints associated with adaptation strategies to flood in the study area. 4 stage sampling technique was adopted in the selection of 147 crop farmers. Data obtained were analyzed by the use of descriptive statistics and inferential statistics tool (Poisson regression model). The study revealed that respondents strongly perceived flood effects as loss in farm produce, loss in quality of yield and loss of farm infrastructure. The study findings show that common adaptation strategies adopted by the crop farmers include change in planting date, crop rotation, mixed cropping, planting of cover crops and mulching. Furthermore, the Poisson regression result of the determinants of adaptation strategies to flood showed that age, level of education, farming experience, extension visit, credit, compatibility and cost of practice were statistically significant in making decisions. However, constraints perceived by the respondents include: poor access to adaptation strategies information, high cost of improved crop varieties, lack of access to weather forecast technology and government irresponsiveness to risk management. Therefore, it was recommended that Extension agents should provide adequate information on various adaptation strategies to farmers, relevant stakeholders and concerned organization should provide farmers with weather forecast technology, financial institutions should make access to credit facilities to farmers to enable them adopt adaptation strategies.

Keywords: Farmers, Adaptation strategies, Flood and Losses

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture has contributed immensely to the economies of most Africans countries including Nigeria where it constitutes about 40% of the countries' Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and about 70% of the population depends on it as a source of their livelihood (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) 2015). Flood is the most common type of disaster causing serious economic losses in various part of the world (Ramakrishna et al., 2015). Those effects have caused a huge threat to food security and farmers livelihoods around the world compromising the well-being of crop farmers, because majorly crop

farmers depend on natural climatic sensitive resources such as agriculture for their livelihood (Ubisi et al., 2017).

METHODOLOGY

This Study was conducted in Ado, Irepodun/Ifelodun, and Ekiti west local government area of Ekiti State, Nigeria. In order to obtain a sample size for this study, a 4-stage multistage sampling technique was adopted for this study area. Descriptive statistics was used to achieve objective 1 and 3, while objective 2 was achieved using Poisson regression.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS The results indicated that crop farmers in the study area have the average age of 43 years, dominated by males and were married. Majority about 79.7% of the crop farmers were educated in formal institutions of learning and have a mean household size of 5 people. Also the respondents had the mean of 18 years of farming experience and 59.9% owned their land with the mean of 1.4 hectares of land. Table 1 shows that Flooding has effects on production activities of farmers. Based on the mean score from table 4.2 it shows that loss in farm produce (4.57), loss in quality of yield (4.41), loss of farm infrastructure (4.37) has the highest perceived effects. All this lead to reduction of farmers' productivity hence reducing the revenue of the farmers specifically and the nation as a whole. This implies that farmers must find ways of adapting to flood strategies in the zone to sustain their production and socio-economic status. This finding is in agreement with the work of Musah et al. (2016). Similarly, the respondents reported that flood eroded their farm produce which in turn resulted in low quality and low harvest, which could further pose a negative impact on the income of the household. This is in line with Ibrahim et al. (2018) who reported that flood disasters had impacts on agriculture by destroying crops and all other produce.

Table 1: perceived effects of flood on crop production

Table 1: perceived effe		on crop pr	TT	D	SD	Mean	Rank
Perceived effects	SA 93(63.3)	49(33.3)	1(0.7)	4(2.7)	0(0)	4.57	1 st 2 nd
Loss of farm produce Loss of yield quality	71(48.3)	70(47.6) 75(51.0)	2(1.47) 4(2.7)	4(2.7) 2(1.4)	0(0) 1(0.7)	4.41 4.37	3 rd
Loss of farm infrastructure	65(44.2) 60(40.8)	59(40.1)	17(11.6)	7(4.8)	4(2.7) 1(0.7)	4.12 3.98	5 th 6 th
Increased weed growth Soil erosion	39(26.5)	75(51.0) 82(55.8)	25(17.0) 25(17.0)	7(4.8) 6(4.7)	1(0.7)	3.95	. 7 th
Loss of soil nutrients Low farm income	33(22.4) 50(34.0)			16(10.9)	5(3.4)	3.93	8 th

The result in Table 1 shows that the farmers adopted the practice of change in planting date, crop rotation and mixed cropping as the major flood adaptation strategies with the mean (3.22, 3.03, 3.01) respectively. This implies that the various strategies adopted are not expensive to practice and farmers have various crops at their disposal to plant which may be the reason for greater adoption of these strategies. While the use of drainage system (2.49) and change in use of chemicals (2.55) was the least practice the farmers adopted because it is expensive to practice. This finding is in line with Oselebe et al. (2016) in the study of strategies employed by rice farmers in south eastern Nigeria in adapting to climate change and Onyeneke (2018) in the study of challenges of adaptation to climate change by farmers Anambra State, Nigeria.

Table 2: Level of the adaptation strategies to flood related losses

Adaptation strategies	NA	AW	I	E	Т	A	Mea	Rank
	21(14.2)	11(7.5)	24(16.2)	15(10.2)	11(7.5)	(5(44.0)	n	4 St
Change in planting date	21(14.3)	11(7.5)	24(16.3)	15(10.2)	11(7.5)	65(44.2)	3.22	1 st
Crop rotation	7(4.8)	30(20.4)	22(15.0)	16(10.9)	36(24.5)	36(24.5)	3.03	2^{nd}
Mixed cropping	11(7.5)	31(21.1)	26(17.7)	11(7.5)	14(9.5)	54(36.7)	3.01	3 th
Planting of cover crops	12(8.2)	37(25.2)	18(12.2)	8(5.4)	18(12.2)	36(24.5)	2.99	4 th
Mulching	17(11.6)	39(26.5)	10(6.8)	11(7.5)	15(10.2)	55(37.4)	2.90	5 th
Use of farm yard	14(9.5)	50(34.0)	11(7.5)	3(2.0)	6(4.1)	63(42.9)	2.86	6 th
manure								41.
Planting of trees	24(16.3)	44(29.9)	7(4.8)	0(0)	3(2.0)	69(46.9)	2.82	7^{th}
Zero tillage	13(8.8)	36(24.5)	22(15.0)	12(8.2)	28(19.0)	36(24.5)	2.78	8 th
Soil conservation	20(13.6)	41(27.9)	19(12.9)	9(6.1)	21(14.3)	37(25.2)	2.55	9 th
Use of drainage system	10(6.8)	53(36.1)	24(16.3)	7(4.8)	21(14.3)	32(21.8)	2.49	10^{th}
Change use of chemicals	25(17.0)	50(34.0)	16(10.9)	8(5.4)	15(10.2)	33(22.4)	2.25	11 th
	2024							

Source: field survey, 2021

The result on Table 2 shows that the age of respondent had negative and significant effect on crop farmers' probability to adopt flood strategies. This implies that younger farmers are likely to adopt strategies than the older farmers indicating that younger farmers have a longer planning ability to cope with flood strategies. The probability of adoption of flood related strategies decreases by 6% increase in the age of crop farmers in the study area. As shown in Table 3 level of education of the crop farmers was significant and positive influenced the adoption of flood related strategies. In other words the level of education of crop farmers increased the probability of adopting strategies related to flood by 0.0214, this implies that the level of education has exposed farmers to different adaptation measures and has allowed them to have a significant understanding of what flood losses is and the likely things they can do to cushion its effects. This is in line with the study of Fatuase and Ajibefun (2015). Table 3 also indicated that the farming experience of respondents in the study area had a positive and significant effect on crop farmers' probability to adopt to flood adaptation strategies. This probability of adoption of flood related strategies increases by 7%, this implies that the respondents had useful information and experiences of flood with coping strategies as it affects their farming practices. The number of extensions visit, credit and compatibility increased the probability of adopting strategies related to flood by 0.028, 1% and 0.2% respectively. This implies that the more number of contact farmers have with extension personnel and services the more access to adaptation strategies and information and level of their adaptation strategies to flood improves farmers' awareness as well as the better implementation of the strategies. The positivity of credit implies that farmers with access to credit are more likely to use different flood adaptation strategies and allocate the credit for purchasing it. Also the compatibility implies that the crop farmers' existence practices are compatible with the adaptation strategies which made the probability of adopting it positive. Table 3 also indicated that the cost of practice of the strategies by the respondents had a negative and significant effect on crop farmers' probability to adopt flood related strategies. This probability of adoption decreases by 0.099, this implies if the practice is costly there is low probability of adopting it. This finding is in line with the study Arimi (2017).

Table 3; Poisson regression analysis on determinants of adaptation strategies to flood related losses

related losses Explanatory variables	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z value	
Age	0066992	.0020003	-3.35***	p> z
Gender	.0389414	.0377567	1.03	0.001
and ownership	.0972777	.1139436	0.85	0.302
Level of education	.021417	.010472	2.05**	0.393
Farm size	.0129469	.0103532	1.25	0.041
Farm experience	.0073353	.0023398	3.14***	0.211
Household size	0073947	.0290937	-0.25	0.002 0.799
Extension visit	.028249	.0129293	2.18**	0.799
Farm income	-1.19e-07	9.15e-08	-1.31	0.029
Credit	1.01e-06	2.00e-07	5.04***	0.000
Loss due to flood	-3.97e-08	2.80e-07	-0.14	0.887
Compatibility	.2204492	.0565947	3.90***	0.000
Relative advantage	.0733252	.0484915	1.51	0.131
cost of practice	099682	.0335543	-2.97***	0.003
Cons	2.982538	.1120157	26.63***	0.000
Log likelihood	622.99005			
LR chi2(14)	132.27	and the second		
Prob> chi2	0.0000***			
Pseudo R2	0.9601			
Number of obs	147	* significant at 1		

Source: field survey, 2021. *** and ** significant at 1%, 5% respectively

Table 4 shows that among the crop farmers in the zone, constraints include poor access to adaptation information, poor information on early warning system. In the present information age, problem could pose serious challenges to farmers' adaptation strategies as they may not be aware of recent development regarding flood adaptation and the necessary adjustments needed. Weather forecasts are supposed to guide farmers on flood losses so that they can make informed decisions and useful farm plans. However, the absence of this facility will undoubtedly make the farmers became ignorant of the weather situations and hence become vulnerable to effects of flood and weather. Ozor et al. (2016) noted that poor change information and farmers lack of access to weather forecast technologies as major barriers to climate change adaptation among farming households in Southern Nigeria.

Table 4; Constraints associated with the adaptation strategies to flood

Constraints associated with the control of	Severe	Not	Not	Mean	Rank
flood	constraint	severe	constrai		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	90((0.5)	constraint	nt	2.50	+ St
Poor access to adaptation strategies information	89(60.5)	46(31.3)	12(8.2)	2.52	1 st
High cost of improved crop varieties and strategies	89(60.5)	44(29.9)	14(9.5)	2.51	2 nd
Lack of access to weather forecast technology by crop farmers	84(57.1)	47(32.0)	16(10.9)	2.46	3 rd
Government irresponsiveness to risk management	77(52.4)	56(38.1)	14(9.5)	2.43	4 th
Tedious nature of adaptation strategies	74(50.3)	57(38.8)	16(10.9)	2.39	5 th
Poor information on early warning system	72(49.0)	54(36.7)	21(14.3)	2.35	6^{th}
Poor agricultural extension services	62(42.2)	71(48.3)	14(9.5)	2.33	7^{th}
Lack of collateral to secure loan to support	• •	88(59.9)	7(4.8)	2.31	8 th
farming					41.
High cost of chemicals	60(40.8)	68(46.3)	19(12.9)	2.28	9 th
Lack of access to supporting institutional facilities	44(29.9)	96(65.3)	7(4.8)	2.25	10 th
Low level of education of the farmers	55(37.4)	65(44.9)	26(17.7)	2.20	11^{th}
Inherited system of land ownership	46(31.3)	65(44.2)	36(24.5)	2.07	12 th
Small-scale production of the farming	, ,	76(51.7)	40(27.2)	1.94	13 th
households Shortage of labour for implementing adaptation	28(19.0)	60(40.8)	59(40.1)	1.79	14 th
strategies Involvement of the farmers in some off farm jobs	22(15.0)	46(31.3)	79(53.7)	1.61	15 th

Source: field survey, 2021 RECOMMENDATIONS

Therefore, it was recommended that Extension agencies should provide adequate information on various adaptation strategies to farmers, which was one of the major constraints they face, relevant stakeholders and concerned organization should provide farmers with weather forecast technology, financial institutions should make access to credit facilities to farmers to enable them adopt adaptation strategies.

REFERENCES

Arimi, K. (2017). Determinants of Climate Change Adaptation Strategies used by Rice Farmers in Southwestern, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics. 115 (2) 91-99.

Fatuase, A. & Ajibefun, G. (2015). Perception and Adaptation to Climate Among Farmers in Selected Communities of Ekiti State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Faculty of Gaziosmanpasa University, 31 (3), 101-114.

Ibrahim, M.K., David, A.M. & Okpanachi, G.U. (2018). Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Management in Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Issues and Agriculture in Developing Countries. 2, 2-3

Onyeneke R.U. (2018). Adaptation Measures By Crop Farmers in the Southeast Rainforest Zone Onyeneke R.U. (2018). Adaptation Measures By Crop Farmers in the Southeast Rainforest Zone Onyeneke R.U. (2018). Adaptation Measures By Crop Farmers in the Southeast Rainforest Zone Onyeneke R.U. (2018). Adaptation Measures By Crop Farmers in the Southeast Rainforest Zone of Nigeria to Climate Change. Science World Journal. 5(1):32-34.

of Nigeria to Chinaco C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of Oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O. & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O., & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, H. O., Nnamani, C.V., Efisue, D. O., Eze, S. O., & Ogunji, J. O. (2016). Perceptions of oselebe, Exemple of the properties of the propert elebe, H. O., Nnaman, O. v., elebe, H. O., elebe, H. O., Nnaman, O. v., elebe, H. O., elebe, H.

East Nigeria. Our Nature 14 (1): 54-63.

East Nigeria. Our A.A., Amaechina, E.C., Onokola, P., Eboh, E.C., Ujah, O. & Ozor, N., Madukwe, M.C., Enete, A.A., Amaechina, E.C., Onokola, P., Eboh, E.C., Ujah, O. & Carth, C.J. (2016). Barriers to Climate Change Adaptation among Formation of the Company of t Or, N., Madukwe, W.C., Eboh, E.C., Ujah, O. & Garforth, C.J. (2016). Barriers to Climate Change Adaptation among Farming Households of Nigeria Journal of Agricultural Extension, 14(1). Remodulation Garforth, C.J. (2015). Impact of Floods on Food Security and Limits Gaddam, S.R. & Daisy, I. (2015). Impact of Floods on Food Security and Livelihoods of IDP Tribal & Daisy, I. (Livelinoods of IDP Tribal Households: The case of Khamman Region of India. International Journal of Development and Economics Sustainability. 2 (1), 11-24.

und Economics Smallholder Farmers Perceived Effects of Climate Change on Crop Ubisi, N.R. (2017). Smallholder Farmers Perceived Effects of Climate Change on Crop production and Household Livelihoods in Rural Limpopo Province, South Africa. Change

Adaptation Socio- Ecological Sytems. 3, 27-38.