Comparative Analysis of Resource Use Efficiency of Cereal Crop Enterprises in Lapai Local Government Area of Niger State.

Ibrahim, F. D^{*1}, A. Y. Musa², K. R. Usman¹, P. A. Ibrahim³ and O. B. Adeniji¹

*¹Department Of Agricultural Economics And Extension, Saat Federal University Of Technology Minna.Niger State. E-Mail idfaith006@yahoo.com

*2 C/O Alh. Shulabu Tauheed, Nigerian Immigration Service, H/Qs, Minna, Niger State, 3National Cereals Research Institute Badeggi, Bida Niger State

ABSTRACT

This study examines the resource use efficiency in cereal crop enterprise production in Lapai Local Government Area Of Niger state. The two cereal crops selected were rice enterprise and sorghum enterprise. Data for the study was collected from 40 rice farmers and 40 sorghum farmers randomly selected in five villages. The data collection was from October to December 2006 cropping season. Production function analysis which incorporates the conventional neoclassical test of economic and technical efficiencies were used as the analytical techniques. Profitability analysis was also used to determine the profitable enterprise. Findings revealed that for rice production fertilizer input was optimally utilized by the farmers while other inputs seeds, labour and farm size were over used. On the other hand in sorghum production all the inputs seed, labour, fertilizer and farm size were over utilized. The profitability analysis revealed that rice enterprise was the most profitable with a mean net farm income value of N1,419,600.00 per hectare while sorghum had a net farm income of N7,700/ha. The results show that there is the need for farmers to be advised on the appropriate allocation of resources to boost efficiency and output. This would mean using less of seeds input, labour and farmsize for rice production, while for sorghum production less of all the inputs should be used.

INTRODUCTION

Cereals are the most important source of food for man, early man depended entirely upon cereals as a major source of food and transition from hunting to sedentary life revolves round cereals. Most ancient civilizations tend to be on one cereal crop or another as a source of food. Cereal crops basically include rice, millet, maize, sorghum, wheat, barley etc.

The study focuses on sorghum and rice cereals, sorghum grain ranks fifth in the world after wheat, rice, maize and barley (FAO,2006). Sorghum is a major crop for many poor farmers especially in Africa, central America and Asia.(WIKIPEDIA,2006).

Between 1960 and 1972, sorghum was reported to have accounted for 49% of the total production of cereals in Nigeria and on the average 46% of the acreage devoted to it's cultivation. (FOS,1972). Sorghum is predominantly a starchy food, it has some protein content and a concentration of vitamin B-Complex on the outer bran layer of the grain (FAO/ICRISAT,1996). Sorghum is locally consumed in the form of indigenous food and

drinks, porridges side dishes popped grains.(WIKIPEDIA,2006).It is a valuable industrial crop for the brewing of alcohol and non alcoholic drinks as well as in the bakery and confectionary industry .It is also a significant crop for animal feeds, stalks for thatching houses and making fences. (Oloko et, al. 2000, WIKIPEDIA, 2006).

There was an indication that rice was the major cereals for early societies in China and Sorghum for early societies in Africa (WARDA,1994). However, rice ranks second in importance among the World population of cereals after wheat followed by maize and sorghum (WARDA,1994; Jones,1995).

Rice forms an important component of Nigeria's diet. The average Nigerian consumes 21kg of rice per year representing a percent of total calorie intake and 23% of total cereal consumption (FAO,2004) .Production is primarily by small scale producers with average farm size of 1-2 hectares (WARDA AND NISER,2001). Similar studies carried out by Ibrahim et al. (2005), in the same ecological

zone as this present study revealed that farm size did not significantly affect farmers output from rice production, the results concluded that an increase in farm size devoted to rice production will boost it's output.

Production increases, can result from expansion of cropped areas (FAO/ICRISAT,1996). Nigeria has not been able to attain self-sufficiency in rice production despite increasing hectares put into production annually (C B N, 2000).

The constraints to increase food production in the study area is attributed to a combination of various factors such as low and epileptic rainfalls, insufficient crop husbandry practices, labour shortages ,inadequate credit making the adoption of improved technology beyond the reach of small holder farmers. Untimely availability and misappropriation of inputs due to unholy political interferences and greed, inappropriate decision on how best to allocate resources, inadequate use of corresponding production inputs and inadequate adoption of improved technologies by farmers ,poor land tenure system . Cereal crops require highly technical management at the farm level.

Resource allocation and productivity is an important aspect of increased food production which is also associated with the management of the farmers who employ these resources in production. farmers might use resources rationally but not at the economic optimal level. Against this backdrop this study is aimed at comparing resource efficiency between sorghum and rice crop enterprises in the study area. The broad objective of the study is to, compare the efficiency of resource utilization between sorghum and rice enterprises.

METHODOLOGY

This study was carried out in Saka district in Lapai Local Government Area of Niger state. Niger state lies between latitudes 80210 and 11°30' and longitudes 3°30' and 7° 20'.It is situated in the middle belt zone of Nigeria and is indispensably one of the largest fertile agricultural lands in Nigeria covering about 8,733,170km² of the total land area of the country. The area has a tropical climate marked by wet and dry seasons. The inhabitants are mainly Nupes, Kakanda, a small fraction of Gwaris and semi sedentary Fulani.

The study focused on two cereal crops in the area which includes the rice crop enterprise and the sorghum crop enterprise. Sampling procedure involved a multistage sampling technique in which firstly Saka district was purposely selected from Lapai Local Government Area due to the prevalence of cereal crop production in the area. Secondly five villages; Chapa , Alaba, Cheku, Yelwa And Abaye were purposely selected from this district. Thirdly sixteen farmers (16) were randomly selected, comprising of 8 rice farmers and 8 sorghum farmers from each village bringing the total number of sampled farmers to eighty (80).

Data was collected in the 2006 cropping season. Data used for the study was sourced primarily, well structured through generated questionnaire. Data was analyzed through descriptive statistics, regression analysis and farm budget techniques. Regression model was used to examine input-output relationship given by the implicit form:

 $Y = F(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, ;e)$ -----(1) Where;

Y= Output of Rice and Sorghum respectively in (kg)

 X_1 = Seeds used in (Kg)

 X_2 = Labour in mandays

 X_3 = Fertilizer used in (Kg)

 X_4 = Farm size in (Has)

 X_5 = Capital items in N (Depreciated cost of fixed cost items)

e = error term.

Four functional forms were tried, and were expressed in the explicit form as;

$$Y = b_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + b_4x_4 + b_5x_5 + e$$
 ------EQN 1 (Linear)

Ln Y = Inbo + b_1 ln $x_1 + b_2$ ln $x_2 + b_3$ ln $x_3 + b_4$ ln x_4 +b₅ln x₅+e--EQN 2 (Cobb-Douglas)

 $Y = Inbo + b_1 In x_1 + b_2 In x_2 + b_3 In x_3 + b_4 In x_4 + b_5 In$ x₅ +e-----EQN 3 (Semi-log)

Ln Y =
$$b_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + b_4x_4 + b_5x_5 + \cdots$$

----e------EQN 4 (Exponential)

Where;

bo = constant.

 b_1 - b_5 = estimated regression coefficients.

e = error term.

 $x_1 - x_5 = Independent variables$

The functional form producing the best fit was chosen as the lead equation based on the following criteria:

The number of estimators that are statistically significant, value of F-statistics, magnitude of coefficient multiple determination

(explanatory power of the model) and the statistical significance of the magnitudes of the coefficients and the signs on the estimated parameters. The Cobb Douglas production function was chosen as the lead equation for sorghum while Linear function was chosen as the lead equation for rice.

Efficiency of resource use was determined by the following ratios:

r = M∀P/MFC (Rahman and Lawal,2003 and Iheanacho et al; 2000)

MVP=MPP, Py

Where;

 $\text{Mpp}_{x_i} = \text{dy/dx} = b_i$, Y_I/X_I , where the semi-log form is the lead equation.

 $Mpp_{xi} = dy/dx = b_i \cdot Y_i/X_i$, where the cobbdouglas form is the lead equation.

 $Mpp_{xi} = dy/dx \equiv b_i$, where linear form is the lead equation.

 $MVPx_i = Marginal value product of factor x_i$

MPPx = Marginal physical product

MFC = Marginal factor cost, Pxi (unit price of input Xi)

Y = Arithmetic mean value of output

Xi = Arithmetic mean value of input considered

Py = Unit price of output

r = Efficiency ratio: where;

If r = 1, resource is efficiently utilized

If $r \ge 1$, resource is under utilized

If $r \leq 1$, resource is over utilized.

Farm Budgeting techniques

Gross margin=Gross farm income-Total variable cost

Net farm income = Gross margin -Total cost

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-economic characteristics of respondents. The results in table 1 revealed that 48% and 47% of the respondents under rice and sorghum enterprise fell within the age range of 25-40 years. These distributions indicates that the youths are highly involved in cereal crop production in the study area. The average household size was 11 persons for both enterprises. Rice enterprise constituted mainly of 100% male farmers while the sorghum enterprise revealed that 17.5% were females and 82.5% males.

The study revealed that farmers operated small scale farm holdings. The rice enterprise was

characterized by 88.7% farmers having farm sizes of between 3-4 hectares and 11.3% having greater than 4 hectares. The sorghum enterprise revealed smaller farm sizes for farmers showing 38% having between 1-2 hectares and 61.3% having between 3-4 hectares. The level of education is very low with almost 92.5% of farmers in rice enterprise and 90% of farmers in sorghum enterprise having no formal education at all. While 7.5% and 10% from both enterprises revealed that they had Arabic education. The low level of education may have tremendous implication on adoption of innovation because this is a disadvantage to adoption. Nevertheless, the youthful nature of most of the farmers may be an advantage to innovation, since youths are said to less risk averse and may have better exposure to new ideas (Obeta et al).

Production input-output relationship

Following the apriori econometric criteria for the selection of the form producing the best fit. The linear functional form was lead equation for rice enterprise while the cobb-Douglas functional form was lead equation for the sorghum enterprise.

From Table 2, the linear functional form revealed that about 76.4% of the variation in rice output was explained by the factor inputs as indicated by the value of the R^2 . The variables labour (x_2) and cost of capital items (x_5) had positive coefficients and were statistically significant at 1% and 10% respectively. The variables seeds (x_1) and farm size (x_4) had negative coefficients and were significant at 1% and 10% respectively. Fertilizer (x_3) had a positive coefficient but was not found to be significant at all.

From table 3, the cobb-Douglas production function revealed that about 78.6% of the variation in sorghum output was explained by the factor inputs as indicated by the R^2 value. The factor inputs labour (x_2) and capital items (x_5) had positive coefficients and were significant at 1%. Seeds (x_1) , farm size (x_4) and fertilizer (x_3) had negative coefficients, with fertilizer (x_3) and farm size (x_4) being significant at 1% level of significance.

These positive coefficients implies direct relationship between input and output and that increase in the quantity of these inputs would increase the output. The significant factor inputs implies that , they are the major determinants of output. The negative coefficients implies an inverse relationship between the factor inputs and output, suggesting that an increase in these

factor inputs would decrease output and vice versa.

Efficiency of resource Use

The marginal contributions of production resources in terms of the physical and value products and the level of input utilization depicting efficiency levels was revealed by tables 4&5 respectively.

The use of an extra unit of fertilizer input in rice production has the highest input of 1.244 and 1.249.76 addition to rice output and revenue respectively. Followed by fertilizer, while a unit increase in seeds and farm size would result in a reduction in the returns of rice output.

From table 5, in sorghum enterprise the use of an extra unit of labour input has the highest contribution of 2.632 and \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 65.8 addition to sorghum production. While in the case of sorghum enterprise, unit increases in seeds, fertilizer and farm size would only result in a reduction in the returns of sorghum output.

In comparing efficiencies of resource utilization in the cereal crop enterprises under study, findings revealed that for rice production fertilizer input was optimally utilized by the farmers, whereas seeds, labour and farm size were overused.

Profitability analysis

Table 6 revealed the findings of the gross margins and net farm incomes from the two enterprises respectively. The findings revealed that rice production enterprise contributed greater revenue of a mean value of ₩ 1,419,600.00/ha as compared to the sorghum enterprise of № 7,700.00/ha.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

In comparison of efficiency levels of cereal crops under study, rice production enterprise was seen to be more efficient in resource utilization. The study revealed that the inputs in rice production were at least more sub optimally utilized as compared to the sorghum enterprise. Rice production was also seen to be more profitable.

These findings revealed that farmers should be advised to embrace rice cultivation seeing they have a better understanding and application of resource allocation to boost production. However, it also goes to say that to raise output in rice production farmers should be advised on the use of less of seeds input, labour input and farm size. While for the sorghum enterprise,

less of all the inputs should be used to approach efficiency level. The study revealed that majority of the farmers were non literate ,thus in advising the farmers on appropriate allocation coresources will require the cooperation of the farmers themselves, the efforts of the research institute, the private sector, the Government and most importantly the extension agents. The extension agents should be on hand to assist farmers make, take and implement the right decisions towards allocation of these resources.

REFERENCES

- Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) (2000): Statistical Bulletin Vol. 2 No.2 .
- Food And Agriculture Organization, (2006):
 Animal feed resources information system
 (AFRIS). The Food and Agriculture
 Organization of the United Nations.
 "Sorghum bicolor".
- Federal office of statistics (1972): Rural economic survey of Nigeria; Consolidated results of crop estimation survey.
- Food And Agriculture Organization and ICRISAT (1996): The world millet and sorghum economies; Facts, trends and outlook.Patancheru and Rome pp 68.
- Ibrahim, F.D.; Ibrahim, M., Ajayi, O.J. and Ibrahim, P.A.(2005): Effect of the adoption of improved rice varieties on the productivity of small scale farms in Baddeggi, Niger state, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Rural Economy and Society. VOL4, 2007
- Iheanacho, A. C., Olukosi, J. O. and Ogunbile, A. O. (2000): 'Economic Efficiency of resource Use in Millet Based Cropping Systems in Borno State of Nigeria, Nigerian Journal of Tropical Agriculture, Vol. 2, 2000.
- Jones, M. P. (1995): The Rice Plant and its Environment; West African Rice Development Association Training Guide 2. pp. 1-16.
- Obeta , M.E. and Nwagbo, E.C. (1997): The adoption of agricultural innovations in Nigeria. A case study of an improved IITA Technology Package in Anambra state: In Olukosi, A.O.Ogungbile And B.A. Kalu (eds); Appropriate technologies for roksource poor farmers. A publication of Nigerian Farming Systems Research Network pp.231-245.
- Oloko, O.A.; P.O. Oyekan and R.I. Mani (2000): Recent Accomplishments of the National Agricultural Research Institutes. Agricultural Science Department, Federal

Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Abuja.

Rahman, S. A. and Lawal, A. B. (2003): 'Economic Analysis of Maize-Based Cropping Systems in Giwa Local Government Area of Kaduna State, Nigeria, *An International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, Science, Environment and Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 139-148 West Africa Rice Development Association (WARDA) and Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research (NISER) (2001). Report of the Stakeholder: Workshop. November 8-9, 2001. Ibadan, Digeria

Wikipedia (2006): Sorghum Wikipedia, The free Encylopedia. Retrieved June 15,2006 from <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/vice/wikipedia.org/wikipedia.o

Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of respondents

Variable	SOR	SHUM	RICE	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Age				
25-40	39	48	38	47.5
41-55	35	44	28	35
≥ 56	6	8	14	17.5
Total	80	100	80	100
Educational Status				
No formal				
Education	74	92.5	72	90
Arabic education	6	7.5	8	10
Primary education				
Secondary education				
Tertiary education				
Total	80	100	80	100
Gender				
Male	80	100	66	82.5
Female			14	17.5
Total	80	100	80	100
Farm Size (Ha's)				
≤ 1				
1-2			31	38
3-4	. 71	88.7	49	61.
≥ 4	9	11.3		
Total	80	100	80	100
Household Size				
≤ 10				
11-15	56	70	64	80
≥ 16	24	30	16	20
Total	80	100	80	100

Source: Field survey data 2006.

d linear function for rice enterprise

Table 2: Estimated linear for	unction for rice enterprise	= 1/-luos	R ²	F-ratio
Variable	Regression Coefficient	T-Values -3.879*	0.764	36.659
Constant	-745.421	-3.538* * *	CANDIDACADA (1)	2011-2000
Seeds (Kg) (X ₁)	-4.153	6.469***		
Labour (X ₂)	0.681			
Fertilizer (kg) (X ₃)	1.244	-0.789		
Farm size (Ha's) (X ₄)	-0.240	-1.772*		
Capital items (N) (X ₅)	2.223	1.707	oce at 1%, 5%	6 and 10% leve

Source: Field survey data 2006. Note: ***, ** and * implies statistical significance at 1%, 5% and respectively.

Table 3: Estimated Cobb-douglas function for sorghum enterprise

Pagrossion Coefficient T-Values				F-ratio	
Variable	Regression Coefficient	1107 - 1107 - 1107 - 1107	R ²	24 702	
Constant	4.231	3.027	0.786	34.793	
Seeds (Kg) (X ₁)	-7.626E02	-0.587			
Labour (X ₂)	0.476	5.171***			
Fertilizer (Kg) (X ₃)	0.194	-2.211°			
Farm size (Ha's) (X ₄)	-7.915E -02	-1.003°			
Capital items (N) (X ₅)	0.441	1.355*			

Source: Field survey data 2006, Note: ***, ** and * implies statistical significance at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively.

Table 4: Efficiency of resource use in rice enterprise.

Resource	MPP (bi)	MVP (bi.py)	MFC (N)	MVP/MFC
Seeds	-4.153	-166.12	30	-5.53
Labour	0.681	27.24	300	0.0908
Fertilizer	1.244	49.76	50	0.9952
Farm size	-0.240	-9.6	1500	0.0064

Source: Field survey data 2006.

Table 5: Efficiency of resource use in sorghum enterprise

		- Truck Pilioc		
Resource	MPP (bi)	MVP (bi.py)	MEC (NI)	
Seeds	374.89	-9372.25	MFC (H)	MVP/MFC
Labour	2.632		18	-520.680
Fertilizer	-4.516	65.8	300	0.219
Farm size	437.77	-112.9	50	-2.258
ource: Field survey data		-10,944.25	1500	-7 296

Table 6: Profitability Analysis Of Rice And Sorghum Crop Fr

Mean values/ha	Crop Enterprise	
Gross farm income	RICE(N)	
Total cost	1,968,000.00	SORGHUM (N)
Fixed cost	548,400.00	177,000.00
Variable cost	38,372.75	169,300.00
Gross margin	510,027.25	23,112.05
Net farm income	1,457,972.75	146,187.95
Source: Field survey data 2006.	1,419,600.00	30,812.05
		7,700.00